Green's function of the Vector fields on DeSitter Background

Gaurav Narain*
The Institute for Fundamental Study "The Tah Poe Academia Institute", Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand.

arXiv:1408.6193v2 [gr-qc] 20 Feb 2015

Abstract

In this paper we study the propagator of a vector fields on a euclidean maximally-symmetric background in arbitrary space-time dimensions. We study two cases of interest: Massive and massless vector fields. In each case we computed the propagator of the vector fields on euclidean deSitter background, isolating the transverse and longitudinal part. In both case of massive and massless vector fields, the short distance limit of the full propagator agrees with the flat space-time propagator. In the case of massive propagator, the transverse part has a well defined massless limit, and in this limit it goes to the transverse propagator for the massless fields. The transverse propagator for antipodal point separation is nonzero and negative, but vanishes in the flat space-time limit (Ricci scalar going to zero). The longitudinal part of the massive propagator diverges as $1/m^2$, where m is the mass of the field. The longitudinal part of the massless propagator is gauge dependent and in particular is proportional to the gauge parameter used in the gauge fixing condition. It vanishes in the Landau gauge. Comparison with the past literature is made.

*Electronic address: gauravn@nu.ac.th

I. INTRODUCTION

The Big-bang model of cosmological evolution although explains the expansion of the universe to a great accuracy, but the observations also raises puzzling issues, which are better known in cosmology as Horizon and flatness problem. A possible solution to these problems is to have an era of exponential expansion in the early stages of the universe, which is commonly known as Inflation [1–3]. It turns out that such an era of exponential expansion can also offer a possible explanation for the large scale structure of the universe. A possible theory is that the large scale structures perhaps might have got their origin from the quantum fluctuations in the early stages of universe, which got amplified during the exponential expansion. But, at the moment there is no detailed particle physics mechanism known which is responsible for inflation. However there are several models, which try to get an era of exponential expansion of the space-time, which should last at least sixty e-folds. One possible way of getting an era of exponential expansion theoretically is to assume that the universe is filled by a perfect fluid, having a constant energy density throughout the space-time. This could be the vacuum energy of the space-time, also known as cosmological constant denoted by Λ. This kind of fluid has equation of state $p = -\rho$, thereby giving negative pressure. Einstein's equation then tells that this scenario will result in accelerated expansion of the space-time. If the universe is assumed to be maximally symmetric, then this will imply an exponential growth of the scale factor for the conformally flat space-time. This is a deSitter space-time. A phase of accelerated expansion in the early universe also explains why we notice almost equal temperatures in the CMB even for points which are very far apart, with very small amount of anisotropies.

A DeSitter type of expansion was not only witnessed during the inflationary era, but it has been noticed from the recent cosmological observations that the universe at present is witnessing an accelerated expansion. It was first noticed in the observations of type 1a supernova [4]. More observations conducted later independently by other groups further confirmed the inference of accelerated expansion of the universe. CMB anisotropies from the WMAP data, tells that the universe is close to flat, and to account for this flatness one needs around 70% of dark energy. Planck's data further confirmed this thereby giving more accurate estimate on the percentage of dark energy. Independent observations of large scale structures further established the knowledge that universe is accelerating and consist of roughly 70% of dark energy. One simplest and possible explanation for these is the acceleration being driven by vacuum energy (same as for inflation). This vacuum energy has an equation of state $p = -\rho$, thereby giving negative pressure resulting in accelerated expansion of the universe. To date this is the best explanation for the dark energy, fitting a variety of other observations to a great accuracy as well. However, there have been other proposals also for explaining this: for example Quintessence etc. In either case, one is trying to explain the late time acceleration of the universe by building a sensible theory for dark energy. In these times of accelerated expansion, it is expected that the universe will again have deSitter kind of geometry.

Although the observations tells that the early and late time universe has witnessed and is witnessing an era of accelerated expansion respectively, which can be modelled to a good approximation with a deSitter kind of geometry, but we still do not have a good physical theory to explain any of them. While the former is explained using inflationary scenarios, and the later using dark energy models, however in either case we are still lacking a good understanding as to what may be the cause of either of them? We do not know why they

are happening, how they are happening and why they started? In either case we know from observations that they have either happened or is happening, and we have some models which try to explain either of them but a complete physical picture is still missing. This demands for further study. Mathematically consistent quantum theories explaining either of them is the required goal, but till now our efforts have yet not been rewarding enough.

In the last century, we witnessed two important major revolution in physics: general relativity and quantum mechanics. Although we have been largely successful in constructing a theory describing relativistic quantum particles, called quantum field theory, which has been very accurate in explaining the observations from high-energy scattering and condensed matter experiments, but so far our efforts to combine gravity in this picture has been largely in vain. While this has led to an extensive research program on quantum gravity: a theory which successfully combines quantum mechanics and general relativity, but till date we are yet to come across a sensible theory which doesn't have any problems. However, there are many approaches to Quantum gravity which try to put successfully quantum mechanics and gravity together, but in all these approaches there are some shortcomings, forcing the researchers to be skeptic to either of them. In these situations of turmoil, it is good to study quantum matter fields on a curved background first, to get an understanding of how background curvature of space-time might be affecting the quantum phenomenas between the matter fields, while the energy is still not large enough to demand quantisation of gravity. This has lead to an extensive amount of research in the direction of quantum field theory on curved background [5]. While this may not be mathematically consistent fully [6], but it may still give some physical insight in to physics happening at those energy scales. Moreover such studies will also tell about the energy scales up to which this particular theory can be trusted, and when it starts to break down thereby giving unexpected results?

With this in mind we study the simplest of all the background, conformally flat deSitter space-time. This is a maximally symmetric space-time and next in simplicity to flat space-time. While this is also physical, due to reasons mentioned above, it becomes a good theoretical laboratory to construct and understand methods of quantum field theory on curved backgrounds. Due to this reason, a large amount of effort has gone in to studying quantum matter fields on deSitter space-time. As in flat space-time the first and important step in constructing a successful QFT is to find the vacuum of the theory and construct fock states. In curved space time similar direction was taken, and for simplicity scalar field was considered first [7–12]. The issue of massive scalar field was further investigated in [13–15]. It was found that for massive scalar there is one-complex parameter family of deSitter invariant vacuum states, of which the "euclidean" vacuum has a special place as for this the propagator has a Hadamard form for short distances, while for the massless scalar there is no deSitter invariant vacuum state, and deSitter invariance is broken, as the propagator exhibits a growing behaviour in the infrared. Also it was noticed that the massless limit for the massive theories is not well defined on a curved background. This was also seen earlier in [16]. Having a problematic massless limit of the massive propagator and a problematic IR divergent massless propagator, puts the whole perturbative quantum field theory procedure of analysing quantum regime of theories at stake. Therefore it becomes a worry, as to how one should be studying processes involving virtual particles on a deSitter background? These skepticism regarding QFT on deSitter background, therefore required attention and effort was made in the past to resolve these issues. One scenario that was considered in [17] was to treat the scalar theory as a gauge theory, and removes the problematic term from the propagator by incorporating a sort of gauge fixing term in the action of the theory

(this problematic term was seen to arise from a zero mode present in the theory). Then the path-integral of the full theory enjoys a BRST symmetry and new propagator is accordingly computed. This new propagator has a well defined massless limit and is also regular in the infrared, in the sense that it doesn't diverge at long-distances. An approach was later taken in [18], where it was suggested that the problematic divergent term of the massive propagator which diverges in the massless limit, can be subtracted by hand in order to define a regular propagator which has a well defined massless limit. This propagator however exhibits a growing behaviour in the IR. Also the massless propagator when computed in deSitter exhibits this IR pathology. This has been a source of concern since then. Some possible remedies have been carried out since then. It is believed that perhaps this IR problem is artificial and is present only at the tree level. In fact it has been shown that if one incorporates a small local interaction, then this generates a dynamical mass due to quantum corrections, thereby resolving the IR problems [19–21]. This is done either perturbatively or non-perturbatively by summing infinite set of cactus diagrams. A non-vanishing mass was also witnessed in the stochastic approach in [22]. These computations meant that in the presence of interactions it is possible to safely take the massless limit. In [23, 24] the authors have gone beyond the local approximations and considered non-local contributions to self energy of the scalar field, by summing the Dyson-Schwinger series. Another interesting non-local study was conducted in [25]. In [23] in an interesting piece of work, it was shown that in the ladder-rainbow approximation, the green's function so obtained doesn't have any IR pathologies. Another work which is of relevance is [40, 41]. While the dust has yet not been settled and demands further research even in the case of scalar [1], we decided to explore the situation in the case of vectors on a deSitter background instead (see [42] for nice lecture notes on QFT on deSitter background).

Vector fields play a crucial role in the present known picture of the standard model of the particle physics. They describe the behaviour of photon field which is the carrier of electromagnetic force. These are the simplest vectors in the standard model as their gauge group is the smallest. Beside them, vectors are also used in studying the nature of force carriers in electroweak and strong interactions. The vectors describing the electroweak forces are massive in nature, a mass they acquire through Higgs mechanism, while they are massless at higher energies. On the other hand the carrier of strong forces is massless in nature. In either case of electroweak and strong forces the vectors also carry a gauge index describing their gauge charge (also called hyper-charge), and follow a complicated algebra of corresponding Lie group. While the present standard model of particle physics is set up on a flat background, where it is renormalizable and well defined quantum mechanically, its corresponding partner on the curved space-time is non-renormalizable and path-integral describing the quantum theory on curved background is not defined. This is matter of worry, as most of the cosmological data that we get is in form of photons, which have been living in curved space-time. Even though the curvature might be small, but the fact that it is non-zero makes all the known methods of quantum field theory on flat space-time to fall apart. Even though we might be far away in attaining a quantum theory of gravity and doesn't have any possible way of testing them, but this doesn't demotivate us in searching the answers to what might happen to the methods of usual flat space-time QFT on a curved

[1] The problem is important as the massless and IR limit of the massive propagator doesn't commute, thereby implying that even a small amount of curvature, as in the present universe might lead to drastic puzzling results

background when the curvature is not large enough? Given that vectors play a central role in the building of standard model, it becomes important to know their behaviour during an exponentially expanding era in cosmological evolution history.

For this reason we plan to study vectors on deSitter background. For simplicity we study first the vectors which doesn't carry any gauge index having a nontrivial group structure. In particular we study two cases of interest: massive and massless vectors fields on deSitter space-time. This has been studied in past also. The first study of spin-1 fields on maximally symmetric space-time was done in [26–28]. Subsequently it was studied on CP^n in [29] and in different gauges in [26, 27, 30]. A more extensive study on the green's function on maximally symmetric spaces was conducted in [31]. They considered both massive and massless vector in euclidean space times and computed the propagator for the theory on both deSitter and anti-deSitter background. This was a kind of first study and many issues like gauge dependences, infrared divergences and massless limits have been left out. While in later papers by others these issues have been considered, but to date dust remains unsettled on these issues. Recently, due to the current observational evidences on CMB and dark energy this direction of research has become more active than before. Due to which the problem of gauge artefacts in the photon propagator have been studied in [32]. It was found that the infrared divergences of the theory are purely gauge artefact and disappear in the particular gauge choice. The case of massive vectors have been recently investigated using canonical approach in [33], where it was seen that in the various limits of the Stueckelberg parameter (used in their calculations), their results goes to either the one given of [31] or to the one given in [34]. It was noticed that the green's function of the massive vector fields have a well defined massless limit and matches with the flat space-time expression at short distances. Massive vectors were also studied in [35], where canonical quantisation was used to obtain the propagator of the theory. These were found to satisfy similar equations as in [34] but had different structure. Massless vector fields were also studied in [36], while in [37] the authors studied conformally invariant wave equation for massless vectors and scalars.

In this paper we compute the propagator of the massive and massless vector fields on a deSitter background. While, this problem has been studied before, we revisit it here, following same methodology of computation both for massive and massless vector fields. We use path-integrals to determine the equation satisfied by the two-point connected green's function of the theory. The advantage of this formalism over solving just the equation of motion is that the methodology can be easily extended to take in to account interaction in the quantum field theory framework in a straight-forward manner. At the tree level, this matches with the equation of motion, but under quantum corrections things will be different. In this sense the interpretation of the equation satisfied by green's function changes. Its not just satisfying the equation of motion but it is more like the inversion of the Hessian of the theory on a deSitter background. In this strategy it is more like determining the propagator of the virtual particles (somewhat similar strategy was also used in [9] in his investigation of scalar fields on deSitter background). We then decompose the two-point connected green's function into transverse and longitudinal part, and set to determine each of them for both the massive and massless case. The equation satisfied by the transverse part of the green's function for both the massive and massless fields has the same structure. Furthermore it is noticed that the transverse massive propagator goes to the transverse massless propagator when the mass is taken to zero. When the points are antipodal, we get a simple expression for the transverse propagator, which might give a wrong indication that the massless limit will not commute with the antipodal point separation limit. But this will be misleading, as

when one actually takes the massless limit, one gets a perfectly well defined answer. This is different from the usually witnessed situation in the case of scalar fields, where the massless limit is not well defined [17, 18].

The longitudinal part has a different story. The longitudinal part of the massive case doesn't have a well defined massless limit, in the sense that it diverges as $1/m^2$. While this is also true for flat space-time, where the longitudinal part is seen to diverge like $1/m^2$, the short distance limit of the longitudinal part of the massive propagator is seen to agree with the longitudinal part of the massive flat space-time propagator. The longitudinal part of the massless propagator is gauge dependent and is proportional to gauge parameter. It vanishes in the Landau gauge.

We agree with [33] in some things. In [33] an extra Stueckelberg parameter has been added, which played actually the role of gauge-fixing parameter. It was shown there that it is possible to take the mass-less limit in a smooth manner in the presence of Stueckelberg parameter. But they also mentioned that in this limit it is no longer possible for them to take Stueckelberg parameter to infinity, as then they witness divergence in the two-point function. Although they are successful in taking the massless limit, but the fact that they witness a diverging two-point function in the limit when Stueckelberg parameter goes to infinity, only indicates the problem of divergence has been transferred from one parameter to the other, which in their case is the Stueckelberg parameter. To me this is just the same infrared divergence one witnesses in our paper also whose source is actually the presence of zero modes. This is actually present in the longitudinal part of the green's function, as was also noticed in [33].

On comparing our results with the past literature, it is noticed that we agree with the past computations done in [31, 33**?** , 34]. However our style of computation is a bit new. We compute by isolating the transverse part from longitudinal one. This give us advantage to study the physical transverse part for both the massive and massless vectors more carefully. Using a common methodology of computation for both the massive and massless fields allows us to make comparison at each level of computation for both the massive and massless fields, and gives us confidence in our results due to consistency of our findings. In the massless limit the massive transverse part is seen to smoothly go over to the massless transverse part. The longitudinal part doesn't share this beauty. It only means that the problematic mass-divergence is only in the longitudinal sector of the theory and therefore is unphysical.

The outline of the paper is the following. In section II we cover some basics on bi-tensors. In section III, we study bi-tensors on maximally symmetric space times, in particular deSitter. In section IV we study the massive vector fields, and compute the propagator of the theory on a deSitter background. In section V we study the case of massless vector fields. In section VI we make a comparison of our results with the ones of the past literature. We conclude in section VII with summary, conclusion from the results and an outlook.

II. BI-TENSORS

In this section we will study Bi-Tensors in general and their properties. We start by considering the motion of a particle on curved space-time from one point x'^{μ} to another point x^{μ}. As it is a free particle therefore its Lagrangian is given by,

$$L = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \,, \tag{1}$$

where τ is the proper time. One can work out the momentum of the particle to be,

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^\mu} = g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu = \dot{x}_\mu \,, \tag{2}$$

where 'dot' indicates derivative with respect to local time. Using the expression for the momentum it is easy to work out the Hamiltonian of the system,

$$H = p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - L = L \tag{3}$$

For the particle moving from position x'^μ to position x^μ, the action for the this will be given by,

$$S[x,\tau;x',\tau'] = \int_{\tau'}^{\tau} L \mathrm{d}\tau = \frac{\sigma(x,x')}{\tau-\tau'} \,, \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma(x,x')$ is half the square of the geodesic distance between x and x'. Its called *geodetic interval*. After having written the action, we can use the Hamilton-Jacobi equation at the two end points to obtain some identities. Hamilton-Jacobi equations at the end point x are the following,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x^\mu} = p_\mu \,, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau} + H = 0 \,. \tag{5}$$

The first equation in eq. (5) gives,

$$p_\mu = \dot{x}_\mu = \frac{\sigma_\mu}{\tau-\tau'} \,, \tag{6}$$

where σ_μ is the covariant derivative of σ at the point x. By making use of eq. (6) we obtain an expression for the Hamiltonian of the system in terms of σ. This is given by,

$$H = L = \frac{\sigma_\mu \sigma^\mu}{2(\tau-\tau')^2} \,. \tag{7}$$

Partial derivative of the action with respect to τ is given by,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{\sigma}{(\tau-\tau')^2} \,. \tag{8}$$

This can be used in the second equation of (5) to get,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau} + H = \frac{1}{(\tau-\tau')^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\sigma_\mu\sigma^\mu - \sigma\right] = 0 \,. \tag{9}$$

This tells an important relation that,

$$\sigma_\mu \sigma^\mu = 2\sigma \,. \tag{10}$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi equations at the other point τ' are a bit different and are given by following,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x'^\mu} = -p'_\mu \,, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau'} - H = 0 \,. \tag{11}$$

The first equation of eq. (11) gives,

$$p'_\mu = \dot{x}'_{\mu'} = -\frac{\sigma_{\mu'}}{\tau-\tau'} \,. \tag{12}$$

From eq. (6 and 12) we notice that σ_μ and $\sigma_{\mu'}$ are vectors of equal length but with opposite sign. By making use of the second equation in eq. (11), we get the following,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau'} - H = \frac{1}{(\tau - \tau')^2}\left[\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mu'}\sigma^{\mu'}\right] = 0\,,$$
$$\sigma_{\mu'}\sigma^{\mu'} = 2\sigma\,. \tag{13}$$

Although the Hamilton-Jacobi equations at the two points x and x' are different, but the relation given in eq. (10 and 13) turns out to be of same form. At this point we define a bi-vector $g_{\mu\nu'}(x, x')$. This is a parallel-displacement bi-vector whose purpose is to parallel transport a generic vector from point x' to x and vice-versa. It is defined by the property,

$$\sigma^\tau \nabla_\tau g_{\mu\nu'} = 0\,. \tag{14}$$

An arbitrary vector V^μ is said to be parallel transported if it satisfies the equation,

$$\dot{x}^\mu \nabla_\mu V^\rho = 0\,. \tag{15}$$

Using eq. (6) we realise that $\dot{x}^\mu$ can be expressed in terms of σ_μ as $\dot{x}^\mu = (\tau - \tau')\sigma^\mu$. This allow us to re-express the condition of parallel transport of vector V^μ, eq. (15) as $\sigma^\mu \nabla_\mu V^\rho = 0$. Now if we define the bi-vector $g_{\mu\nu'}$ such that $V^\mu = g_{\mu\nu'}V^{\nu'}$, then it is noticed that in order for V^μ to satisfy parallel transport equation (15), $g_{\mu\nu'}$ must satisfy the condition stated in eq. (14).

Using the definition of bi-vector $g_{\mu\nu'}$ it is easy to verify that it satisfies the following properties,

$$g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\nu'} = -\sigma_\mu\,, \quad g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\mu} = -\sigma_{\nu'}\,,$$
$$g_{\mu\rho'}g_\nu{}^{\rho'} = g_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad g_{\rho\mu'}g^\rho{}_{\nu'} = g_{\mu'\nu'}\,,$$
$$(\det\, g^{\nu\rho'}) = \frac{1}{(\det\, g_{\nu\rho'})}\,, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\det g_{\mu\nu'} = \sqrt{g}\sqrt{g'}\,. \tag{16}$$

These properties can be proved easily. The first line can be proved by writing σ^μ and $\sigma^{\nu'}$ in terms of $\dot{x}^\mu$ and $\dot{x}^{\nu'}$ respectively (which are tangent vectors at the point x and x' respectively). Using the fact that $g_{\mu\nu'}$ parallel transports $\dot{x}^\mu$ and $\dot{x}^{\nu'}$, it is easy to prove the first line. Second line can be proved by contracting both sides of the equality by $\sigma^\mu\sigma^\nu$ and $\sigma^{\mu'}\sigma^{\nu'}$ respectively. On making use of the property $\sigma_\mu\sigma^\mu = 2\sigma$ and $\sigma_{\mu'}\sigma^{\mu'} = 2\sigma$, second line follows. The proof of the third line is a bit involved and we do it below. First we obtain the bi-vector with indices raised. This can be done by making use of the metric at x and x'.

$$g^{\mu\nu'} = g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu'\alpha'}g_{\rho\alpha'}\,. \tag{17}$$

Taking determinant on both sides gives,

$$\frac{1}{g}\cdot(\det g_{\rho\alpha'})\cdot\frac{1}{g'} = (\det g^{\mu\nu'})\,. \tag{18}$$

Similar to $g_{\mu\nu'}$, $g^{\mu\nu'}$ is also a bi-vector which acts as a parallel displacement vector and satisfies the identities similar to the first line of eq. (16). This can be derived from the property of $g_{\mu\nu'}$.

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\mu\nu'}\sigma_{\nu\prime} &= g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\prime\beta'}g_{\alpha\beta'}\sigma_{\nu'}\,, \\ &= g^{\mu\alpha}g_{\alpha\beta'}\sigma^{\beta'} = g^{\mu\alpha}(-\sigma_\mu) = -\sigma^\alpha\,. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Now by taking the determinant of both sides of

$$g_{\mu\rho'}g^{\nu\rho'} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\,, \tag{20}$$

we notice that the determinant of the bi-vector with the raised indices is reciprocal of the determinant of the bi-vector $g_{\mu\nu'}$. Plugging this information in eq. (18), we prove the third line of eq. (16).

From these properties and relations one can obtain some more useful identities. By taking derivative of $\sigma_\mu\sigma^\mu = 2\sigma$ and $\sigma_{\mu'}\sigma^{\mu'} = 2\sigma$ one can obtain certain nice identities.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma^{\mu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \sigma_\nu\,, \quad &&\sigma^{\mu}\sigma_{\mu\nu'} = \sigma_{\nu'}\,,\\
&\sigma^{\mu'}\sigma_{\mu'\nu} = \sigma_\nu\,, \quad &&\sigma^{\mu'}\sigma_{\mu'\nu'} = \sigma_{\nu'}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By taking derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\nu'} = -\sigma_\mu$ and $g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\mu} = -\sigma_{\nu'}$, we obtain the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{\mu\nu';\nu}\sigma^{\nu'} + g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\nu'}{}_{\nu} = -\sigma_{\mu\nu}\,,\\
&g_{\mu\nu';\mu'}\sigma^{\nu'} + g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\nu'}{}_{\mu'} = -\sigma_{\mu\mu'}\,,\\
&g_{\mu\nu';\nu}\sigma^{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = -\sigma_{\nu'\nu}\,,\\
&g_{\mu\nu';\mu'}\sigma^{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu'}\sigma^{\mu}{}_{\mu'} = -\sigma_{\nu'\mu'}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

In the same way one can take more derivatives and compute further identities [2].

III. MAXIMALLY-SYMMETRIC SPACETIMES

Maximally symmetric space-time are manifolds in arbitrary dimensions having the largest number of symmetries possible. They look the same in all directions. The simplest example for this is the flat space-time and next in simplicity is the d-sphere or hyperboloid (in Reimannian spaces). Leaving apart space-time with zero curvature (which is flat space-time), one is left with space-time having constant nonzero curvature. These include d-sphere and hyperboloid in Euclidean spaces while DeSitter and Anti-DeSitter in Pseudo-Riemanian spaces (which have constant positive or negative curvatures). These kind of spaces have $d(d+1)/2$ independent killing vectors.

In a maximally symmetric background any arbitrary bi-scalar is just a function of the bi-scalar given by the geodetic distance $\sigma(x,x')$, any arbitrary bi-vector $S_{\mu\nu'}$ can be written as a linear combination of parallel displacement bi-vector $g_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}$ with coefficients which are functions of bi-scalar $\sigma(x,x')$. Similarly higher rank arbitrary bi-tensors $T_{\mu\nu\rho\cdots}{}^{\mu'\nu'\rho'\cdots}$ can be written as a linear combination of bi-tensors constructed using $g_{\mu\nu'}$, σ_μ and $\sigma_{\mu'}$, with coefficients being functions of $\sigma(x,x')$. This property is only true for maximally symmetric spaces and no longer holds for arbitrary backgrounds. This was first discussed in [26, 27] (check [31] also).

For a maximally symmetric space-time one can write $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ as a linear combination of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\sigma_\mu\sigma_\nu$, with coefficients as functions of the geodetic distance $\sigma(x,x')$. Similarly one can write $\sigma_{\mu\nu'}$ as a a linear combination of $g_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}$.

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = A(\sigma)g_{\mu\nu} + B(\sigma)\sigma_\mu\sigma_\nu\,, \tag{23}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu'} = C(\sigma)g_{\mu\nu'} + D(\sigma)\sigma_\mu\sigma'_\nu\,. \tag{24}$$

[2] These will become relevant when one is computing the heat-kernel coefficients of the differential operators on curved backgrounds

It is easy to solve for the coefficients A, B, C and D. By contracting the eq. (23) first with $g^{\mu\nu}$ and then with σ_μ, we get the following two equations:

$$\Box\sigma = dA(\sigma) + 2\sigma B(\sigma) \qquad 1 = A(\sigma) + 2\sigma B(\sigma)\,. \tag{25}$$

From the second equation in eq. (25) one can solve for B in terms of A, while from the first equation one can work out A in terms of $\Box\sigma$. Finally one can write $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= A(\sigma)\left[g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_\nu\right] + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_\nu\,, \\ \text{with} \quad A(\sigma) &= \frac{\Box\sigma - 1}{d-1}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\Box\sigma$ is to be determined later and depends on the background space-time and its signature. Similarly contracting eq. (24) with σ^μ, gives $D(\sigma)$ in terms of $C(\sigma)$, plugging which we get the following:

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu'} = C(\sigma)\left[g_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right] + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\,. \tag{27}$$

However solving for C is a bit involved. We apply $\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\alpha$ on both sides of eq. (27). The LHS becomes $\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\alpha\sigma_{\mu\nu'}$. As $\sigma_{\nu'}$ is a scalar at x, therefore the indices α and μ commute, thereby implying

$$\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\alpha\sigma_{\mu\nu'} = \sigma^\alpha\nabla_\mu\sigma_{\alpha\nu'}\,. \tag{28}$$

In order to compute the expression for $\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\mu\sigma_{\alpha\nu'}$, we apply the derivative $\nabla_{\nu'}$ on the identity $\sigma^\alpha\sigma_{\alpha\mu} = \sigma_\mu$. This gives,

$$\sigma^\alpha\sigma_{\mu\alpha\nu'} = \sigma_{\mu\nu'} - \sigma^\alpha{}_\mu\sigma_{\alpha\nu'}\,. \tag{29}$$

Plugging the expressions from eq. (26 and 27), we get an expression for $\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\mu\sigma_{\alpha\nu'}$ to be,

$$\sigma^\alpha\sigma_{\alpha\mu\nu'} = C(1-A)\left[g_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right]. \tag{30}$$

This is also the expression obtained by action of $\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\alpha$ on the LHS of eq. (27). Action of $\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\alpha$ on the RHS of eq. (27) gives the following,

$$\sigma^\alpha\nabla_\alpha\sigma_{\mu\nu'} = 2\sigma C'(\sigma)\left[g_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right], \tag{31}$$

where $C'(\sigma)$ means derivative of C with respect to σ. Comparing the result in eq. (31) with the result from eq. (30) we finally get an equation for C. This is given by,

$$C' = \frac{C(1-A)}{2\sigma}\,. \tag{32}$$

Being a first order differential equation, it can be integrated easily to give the following solution,

$$C = \text{const. } \exp[\int \frac{C(1-A)}{2\sigma}\mathrm{d}\sigma]\,. \tag{33}$$

The constant of integration is determined by comparing with the short distance (flat space) behaviour of C. To do so it is first convenient to study the covariant derivative of the parallel displacement bi-vector $g_{\alpha\beta'}$. On a maximally symmetric space $g_{\alpha\beta';\mu}$ can be written in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha\beta';\mu} &= E g_{\alpha\mu}\sigma_{\beta'} + F g_{\mu\beta'}\sigma_{\alpha} + G g_{\alpha\beta'}\sigma_{\mu} \\ &+ H\sigma_{\alpha}\sigma_{\beta'}\sigma_{\mu}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

As $g_{\alpha\beta'}$ satisfies the eq. (14), therefore contracting eq. (34) with σ^{μ} we get the following condition on the coefficients,

$$0 = E - F + 2\sigma H\,, \quad 2\sigma G = 0\,. \tag{35}$$

Contraction of LHS of eq. (34) with σ^{α}, and making use of the identities stated in eq. (22), (16) and (10) gives us an expression where the solution from eq. (26) and (27) can be plugged to obtain the following,

$$\sigma^{\alpha} g_{\alpha\beta';\mu} = -(A+C)\left[g_{\mu\beta'} + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\beta'}\right]. \tag{36}$$

Similarly LHS of eq. (34) can be contracted with $\sigma^{\beta'}$. Again by making use of the identities stated in eq. (22), (16) and (10) gives us an expression where the solution from eq. (26) and (27) can be plugged to obtain the following,

$$\sigma^{\beta'} g_{\alpha\beta';\mu} = -(A+C)\left[g_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\alpha}\right]. \tag{37}$$

The results from eq. (36) and (37) can be compared by contracting RHS of eq. (34) with σ^{α} and $\sigma^{\beta'}$ respectively, thereby giving the following relations respectively,

$$E - G + 2\sigma H = -\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}\,,\; F = -\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}\,, \tag{38}$$

$$E = -\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}\,,\; -F - G + 2\sigma H = -(A+C)\,. \tag{39}$$

Solving these relations simultaneously we get the expression for the derivative of bi-vector $g_{\alpha\beta'}$ to be,

$$g_{\alpha\beta';\mu} = -\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}(g_{\mu\alpha}\sigma_{\beta'} + g_{\mu\beta'}\sigma_{\alpha})\,. \tag{40}$$

In flat space-time or at short distances $A+C$ must vanish. Hence the constant of integration in eq. (33) is determined by requiring

$$\lim_{\sigma\to 0}[A(\sigma) + C(\sigma)] = 0\,. \tag{41}$$

The bi-scalar $\Box\sigma(x,x')$ which appears in the expression of A is again maximally symmetric. Therefore it must depend only on geodetic distance between x and x'. To obtain an exact expression of this requires knowledge of the kind of maximally symmetric background for which it is computed and its signature. We will evaluate here $\Box\sigma$ for two special different kind of euclidean maximally symmetric space-time: zero curvature flat $\mathbb{R}^n$ and positive curvature S^n. All of these spaces have constant curvature.

In order to evaluate $\Box\sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we go to the spherical co-ordinates and centre the co-ordinate system around x'. The metric in Euclidean space will be given by, $ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{d-1}^2$. The geodetic distance will only be a function of r co-ordinate. As σ is half of the square of the geodesic distance between two points, therefore in flat space-time, for the co-ordinate system described above $\sigma = r^2/2$. In general the $\Box$ operator acting on a scalar has the following expression,

$$\Box\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_\mu[g^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{g}\partial_\nu\phi]\,, \tag{42}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ is some arbitrary scalar. For flat space-time, as σ is just a function of r, therefore in the $\Box$ operator only g^{rr} contributes, thereby implying,

$$\Box\sigma = \frac{1}{r^{d-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}r^{d-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\sigma = d\,. \tag{43}$$

This means that for flat euclidean case $A = 1$ and $C = -1$. Now we proceed to work out the case with positive curvature S^n. For a d-sphere with the co-ordinate centred around x', the metric is given by $ds^2 = r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta d\Omega_{d-1}^2$, where r is the fixed radius of the sphere and is related to Ricci scalar $R = d(d-1)/r^2$. On a d-sphere for the above metric, $\sigma = r^2\theta^2/2$. Again the $\Box$ operator for this metric will contain in general lot of terms, however when $\Box$ acts on σ, it will only pick the contribution corresponding to $g^{\theta\theta}$ from eq. (42). This will imply that,

$$\begin{aligned}\Box\sigma &= \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{1}{\sin^{d-1}\theta}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\sin^{d-1}\theta\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\sigma = 1 + (d-1)\theta\cot\theta \\ &= 1 + (d-1)\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma R}{d(d-1)}}\cot\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma R}{d(d-1)}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Once $\Box\sigma$ on DeSitter is found, it can be used to compute the expression for A and C using eq. (26 and 33). The constant in eq. (33) is determined by requiring that in the flat limit $(\sigma \to 0)$, $(A + C) \to 0$. This would determine C completely. The values of A and C on a euclidean DeSitter are given by,

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma R}{d(d-1)}}\cot\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma R}{d(d-1)}}\,, \quad C = -\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma R}{d(d-1)}}\csc\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma R}{d(d-1)}}\,. \tag{45}$$

IV. TWO-POINT FUNCTION FOR MASSIVE VECTOR

In this section we compute the two-point function for the massive vector fields on the deSitter background. The action for the theory in euclidean space is given by,

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^d x\sqrt{g}\left[\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m^2 A_\mu A^\mu\right], \tag{46}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength tensor antisymmetric in two indices, while A_μ is the spin-1 vector field. The action given in eq. (46) is also the action for the Proca theory for massive spin-1 particle. It also arise in standard model of particle physics when there is spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking via Higgs mechanism, thereby giving

mass to the vector bosons. We consider the euclidean, source dependent path-integral for this theory, which is given by following,

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp\left[- \int \mathrm{d}^d x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 A_\mu A^\mu \right) - \int \mathrm{d}^d x \sqrt{g} J_\mu A^\mu \right], \tag{47}$$

where J_μ is the source. This is the generating functional for the various correlation functions. From this we can define the connected Green functional $W[J] = -\ln Z[J]$, consisting only of connected Feynman diagrams. The action in eq. (46) being quadratic, allows us to perform the gaussian integral over the field in the path-integral eq. (47), thereby giving an expression for $W[J]$ to be,

$$W[J] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^d x \sqrt{g} J_\mu \left(\Delta_F^{-1} \right)^{\mu\nu} J_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \ln \Delta_F^{\mu\nu} , \tag{48}$$

where

$$\Delta_F^{\mu\nu} = \left(-\Box + \frac{R}{d} + m^2 \right) g^{\mu\nu} + \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu . \tag{49}$$

From the connected green's functional, we obtain the source dependent expectation value of the vector field A_μ, denoted by $\bar{A}_\mu$. From the expression of $W[J]$ given in eq. (48), it is easy to compute $\bar{A}_\mu = \left(\Delta_F^{-1} \right)_\mu{}^\nu J_\nu$. Defining the generating functional for the one-particle irreducible (1PI) graphs in the usual manner, we obtain Effective action for the theory to be,

$$\Gamma[\bar{A}_\mu] = W[J] - \int \mathrm{d}^d x \sqrt{g} J_\mu \bar{A}^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^d x \sqrt{g} \bar{A}_\mu \Delta_F^{\mu\nu} \bar{A}_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \ln \Delta_F^{\mu\nu} . \tag{50}$$

Then the derivative of Γ with respect to $\bar{A}_\mu$ is $-J^\mu$. In general the generating functionals W and Γ have some interesting identities, which relate various correlation functions to each other. The most basic of this identity is,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \mathrm{d}^d y \sqrt{g(y)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(y)}} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{A}_\mu(x) \delta \bar{A}_\rho(y)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g(y)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x')}} \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta J^\rho(y) \delta J_{\nu'}(x')} \right) \\ &= - \frac{\delta_\mu{}^{\nu'} \delta(x - x')}{\sqrt{g(x)}} . \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Given that we had an action for non-interacting theory, where W and Γ have simple expressions, it is easy to compute the double derivatives of either of them which are given by following,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g'}} \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta J_\mu(x) \delta J_{\nu'}(x')} = \langle A_\mu(x) A_{\nu'}(x') \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g'}} \left(\Delta_F^{-1} \right)^{\mu\nu'} \delta(x - x') = G^{\mu\nu'}(x, x') , \tag{52}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g'}} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{A}_\mu(x) \delta \bar{A}_{\nu'}(x')} = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{g'}} \Delta_F^{\mu\nu'} \delta(x - x') . \tag{53}$$

Plugging this in the identity eq. (51) and doing integration by parts fetches us the equation for the two-point function $G_{\mu\nu'}(x, x')$. This is given by,

$$\left[\left(-\Box + \frac{R}{d} + m^2 \right) \delta_\mu{}^\alpha + \nabla_\mu \nabla^\alpha \right] G_{\alpha\nu'} = \frac{g_{\mu\nu'} \delta(x - x')}{\sqrt{g'}} . \tag{54}$$

In principle by solving this equation for the $G_{\mu\nu'}$ we get the green's function for the massive vector fields on the deSitter background. However, here we will adopt a different strategy of computing the green's function. We will solve the above equation for the non-coincident points. This has the advantage of simplicity. Then we require that at short distance the green's function on the curved background should match the green's function of the fields on the flat space-time. This is equivalent to putting the appropriate boundary condition or solving for the green's function with the delta-function on the RHS of eq. (54). In the following we will solve the Green's function for the non-coincident points, where the appropriate boundary condition is applied by requiring the green's function on curved background to match the flat space-time structure at short distance. The equation satisfied by the green's function for non-coincident points is,

$$\left[\left(-\Box + \frac{R}{d} + m^2\right) g^{\mu\nu} + \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu\right] \langle A_\nu A_{\nu'} \rangle = 0\,. \tag{55}$$

Using this equation we can compute the expression for $\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} A_{\nu'} \rangle$ by doing some manipulations. This will yield,

$$\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} A_{\nu'} \rangle = m^2 \langle A_\nu(x) A_{\nu'}(x') \rangle\,.^3 \tag{56}$$

It should be noticed that contracting eq. (56) with ∇^ν and using the anti-symmetry property of $F_{\mu\nu}$, we get an additional constraint

$$m^2 \nabla^\nu \langle A_\nu(x) A_{\nu'}(x') \rangle = 0\,. \tag{57}$$

As $m^2 \neq 0$, therefore this will imply an additional constraint on the green's function. In the case when $m^2 = 0$ there is a gauge symmetry in the system, and then this constraint acts as Landau gauge-fixing condition. Massive vector studies done in the past [31, 34] have made use of this constraint in their analysis. While the former computes the propagator of the Proca theory, the later computes the propagator of the Stueckelberg field in the landau gauge. Here we compute the propagator of the massive theory in an alternative way via analysing the $\langle F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu'\nu'} \rangle$ correlator. We isolate the longitudinal piece of the propagator which is seen to diverge as $1/m^2$ in the massless limit.

Now we will make use of the eq. (55, 56 and 57) to solve for the full propagator of the theory. At this point we decompose the green's function $G_{\mu\nu'}$ in to transverse and longitudinal parts as,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x, x') = G^T_{\mu\nu'} + \nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G\,, \tag{58}$$

where $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$ satisfies the transversality condition

$$\nabla^\mu G^T_{\mu\nu'} = \nabla^{\nu'} G^T_{\mu\nu'} = 0\,. \tag{59}$$

This decomposition can be justified by splitting the vector field A_μ in to transverse and longitudinal part as $A_\mu = A^T_\mu + \nabla_\mu a$, where a is the longitudinal part of the vector field, while the transverse part satisfies $\nabla^\mu A^T_\mu = 0$. This will imply,

$$G^T_{\mu\nu'} = \langle A^T_\mu A^T_{\nu'} \rangle\,, \quad G(x, x') = \langle a(x) a(x') \rangle\,. \tag{60}$$

[3] More precisely there is a δ-function term on the RHS also, which is given by $-g_{\nu\nu'}\delta(x - x')/\sqrt{g'}$. But as we are interested in solving for the non-coincident points, therefore this terms vanishes. However this then later translates over the condition $m^2 \nabla^\nu \langle A_\nu A_{\nu'} \rangle = \nabla^\nu [g_{\nu\nu'}\delta(x - x')/\sqrt{g'}]$, instead of eq. (57).

This decomposition can be plugged in (55) and use eq. (57) (for $m^2 \neq 0$), it is easy to note the following,

$$\left[-\Box + \frac{R}{d} + m^2\right]\left[G^T_{\mu\nu'} + \nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G(x,x')\right] = 0\,. \tag{61}$$

We start by studying the transverse part first.

A. Transverse part

On a maximally symmetric background it is possible to write the general structure of the transverse green function in terms of parallel displacement bi-vector $g_{\alpha\beta'}$ and $\sigma_\alpha \sigma_{\beta'}$. This freedom is not available on an arbitrary background, but only on the maximally symmetric background this privilege is enjoyed, which allows us to write the bi-vector $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$ as,

$$G^T_{\mu\nu'} = \alpha(\sigma) g_{\mu\nu'} + \beta(\sigma)\sigma_\mu \sigma_{\nu'}\,. \tag{62}$$

At this point we consider the quantity $\langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$. This quantity is completely independent of the longitudinal part of the gauge field and therefore depends only on the transverse part of the green function $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$. On a maximally symmetric background it can be expressed as a linear combination of $g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'} g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$ and $\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'} g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$, with coefficients being function of the bi-scalar σ as follows,

$$\langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = 4\nabla_{[\mu}\nabla^{[\mu'}\langle A_{\nu]} A^{\nu']}\rangle = \theta(\sigma) g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'} g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} + \tau(\sigma)\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'} g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}\,. \tag{63}$$

By plugging the expression for the green's function for the massive vector field from eq. (62) in eq.(63) we obtain relations expressing θ and τ in terms of α and β respectively. These relations are given by,

$$\theta = 4C\left[\alpha' + \frac{A+C}{2\sigma}\alpha - \beta C\right]\,, \quad \tau = C^{-1}\left[\theta' + \frac{A+C}{\sigma}\theta\right]\,. \tag{64}$$

The covariant divergence of $\langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ can be obtained by using eq. (56). This give us a relation between the functions θ and τ. The covariant divergence is given by,

$$\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = 2m^2 \nabla^{[\mu'}\langle A^T_\nu A^{T\nu']}\rangle\,. \tag{65}$$

The LHS on plugging the expression for $\langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ and doing some simplification gives,

$$\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = \left[-\theta' + \sigma\tau' - (d-2)\frac{(A+C)}{2\sigma}\theta + \frac{((d-2)A+2)\tau}{2}\right]\sigma^{[\mu'} g_\nu{}^{\nu']}\,, \tag{66}$$

while the RHS of eq. (65), on using the expression for θ from eq. (64) gives,

$$2m^2\nabla^{[\mu'}\langle A^T_\nu A^{T\nu']}\rangle = \frac{m^2\theta}{2C}\sigma^{[\mu'} g_\nu{}^{\nu']}\,. \tag{67}$$

Therefore equating the expressions obtained for LHS and RHS from eq. (66) and eq. (67) respectively, and using the expression for τ in terms of θ from the eq. (64), gives us a differential equation for the function θ to be,

$$2\sigma\theta'' + [(d+1)A + 1]\theta' - \frac{2R}{d}\theta - m^2\theta = 0\,, \tag{68}$$

where we have used the identity $(C^2 - A^2)/2\sigma = R/d(d-1)$. This equation can be recast in a more appropriate form by doing a change of variable from σ to z, where z is,

$$z(x, x') = \cos^2 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma R}{2d(d-1)}}\,. \tag{69}$$

The equation for θ in terms of z acquires the following form,

$$z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\theta}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \left[\frac{d}{2} + 1 - (d+2)z\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z} - \frac{d(d-1)}{R}\left(m^2 + \frac{2R}{d}\right) = 0 \tag{70}$$

This is a second order differential equation for the hyper-geometric function ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z)$ with the parameters given by,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\left[d + 1 + \sqrt{(d-3)^2 - \frac{4d(d-1)m^2}{R}}\right], \\ b_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\left[d + 1 - \sqrt{(d-3)^2 - \frac{4d(d-1)m^2}{R}}\right], \\ c_1 &= \frac{d}{2} + 1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Equation (70) being a second order differential equation has two independent solutions. This differential equation is invariant under the change of variables from z to $(1-z)$, as the parameters a_1, b_1 and c_1 satisfy the relation $a_1 + b_1 + 1 = 2c_1$. Such a symmetry doesn't always exist, but in this case this property is enjoyed. This has an advantage, as it tells that the eq. (70) has the following two solutions,

$${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z) \text{ and } {}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1-z)\,. \tag{72}$$

The solution ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z)$ is written as a power series in z, which is convergent for $|z| < 1$. By analytic continuation it can be extended to the rest of complex plane. It is singular at the point $z = 1$ and behaves like ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z) \sim (1-z)^{-d/2}$, while it is regular at $z = 0$ (${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z) \to 1$). The behaviour near $z \to 1$ corresponds to the short distance singularity in the green's function arising when $\sigma \to 0$, while the regularity at large distances ($z \to 0$) is necessary for sensible physical theories. The other solution, ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1-z)$, has a singularity at $z = 0$, while it is regular at $z \to 1$. These two solutions are then linearly independent as they have different singular points. A general solution to eq. (70) will be linear combination of both of them.

Generically, any solution of the differential eq. (70) being a linear combination of both ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z)$ and ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1-z)$, will be singular at both $z = 1$ and $z = 0$ respectively. However boundary conditions (which come from physical requirements) help us in finding the right solution. On a Riemannian DeSitter background the values taken by σ are between zero and $\pi^2 d(d-1)/2R$, which translates into a range for the values for z to be $0 \leq |z| < 1$. This range however covers the entire Riemannian DeSitter background.

There is one parameter family of deSitter invariant fock vacuum state [13–15], in each of which the Green's function can be determined. However there is one special vacuum called the "Bunch-Davies" vacuum [11]. It is the only vacuum for which the two point function has: 1) only one singular point at $z = 1$ and is regular at $z = 0$, and 2) the strength of

singularity for $\sigma \to 0$ is the same as in flat case. For these issues it appears to be the most reasonable vacuum to work with. In fact Green's function for all other vacuum state can be derived from this one [38].

By making use of the first condition it is found that for deSitter case the function $\theta(z)$ can be written as,

$$\theta(z) = q \times {}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z)\,, \tag{73}$$

where the parameter q is an arbitrary constant and is determined by comparing the small distance limit of the $\theta(z)$ with the strength of singularity in flat space-time, which is the requirement imposed by the second condition. In the short distance limit, the asymptotic expression for the hyper-geometric function ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z)$ is given by,

$${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z) \sim \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1 + b_1 - c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}\big(1 - z\big)^{c_1 - a_1 - b_1}\,. \tag{74}$$

This can be plugged in the expression for $\theta(z)$ in eq. (73) to compute the asymptotic form for the function $\theta(z)$ to be,

$$\theta_{\sigma\to 0}(z) \sim \frac{q\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1 + b_1 - c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}\big(1 - z\big)^{c_1 - a_1 - b_1}\,. \tag{75}$$

This can be compared with the corresponding $\theta(z)$ from flat space-time case discussed in the appendix C, with the leading short distance singularity of $\theta(z)$ given in eq. (C10). This comparison allows us to compute the value of the coefficient q in the eq. (73), which is given by,

$$q = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\frac{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}{\Gamma(d/2+1)}\left(\frac{R}{d(d-1)}\right)^{d/2}\,. \tag{76}$$

Once the parameter q is found, we have the knowledge of the function $\theta(z)$. One can then work out the expression for the function $\tau(z)$ by making use of the relation in eq. (64). This relation can be translated in the language of z and is given by following,

$$\tau(z) = \frac{R}{2d(d-1)(\cos^{-1}\sqrt{z})^2}\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z} + 2(1-z)\theta\right]. \tag{77}$$

Having found the behaviour of the function $\theta(z)$ and $\tau(z)$, we proceed to work out the transverse part of the green's function for massive vector field. On a DeSitter background the structural form of the two-point function is given in eq. (62). This satisfies the transversality constraint given in eq. (59), which implies a relation between α and β given by,

$$\alpha' - 2\sigma\beta' - 2\beta + \frac{(d-1)(A+C)}{2\sigma}\alpha - (d-1)A\beta = 0\,, \tag{78}$$

where 'prime' denotes derivative with respect to the argument σ. We can use the first relation given in eq. (64) to express β and β' in terms of θ, θ', α, α' and α''. These relations are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta &= C^{-1}\left[\alpha' + \frac{A+C}{2\sigma}\alpha - \frac{1}{4}\theta C^{-1}\right],\\
\beta' &= C^{-1}\left[\alpha'' + \frac{2A+C-1}{2\sigma}\alpha' + \frac{(A+C)(A-C-2)}{2\sigma}\alpha - \frac{\theta'}{4C} + \frac{\theta(1-A)}{4C\sigma}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

These relation can be used to obtain an equation for the variable α by plugging them in eq. (78). After doing some simplification we get the following equation,

$$2\sigma\alpha'' + [(d+1)A+1]\alpha' - \frac{R}{d-1}\alpha = \frac{\sigma\theta'}{2C} + \frac{(d+1)A\theta}{4C}\,. \tag{80}$$

By doing the same change of variable from σ to z as before (where z is given in eq. (69)), it can be transformed into a more recognisable form given by,

$$z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\alpha}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \left[\frac{d}{2}+1-(d+2)z\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}z} - d\alpha = \frac{d(d-1)}{R}\left[\frac{z(1-z)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{d+1}{4}(1-2z)\theta\right]. \tag{81}$$

This is a second order in-homogenous differential equation of hyper-geometric form with the parameters,

$$a_1' = d\,, \quad b_1' = 1\,, \quad c_1' = \frac{d}{2}+1\,. \tag{82}$$

Being second order in nature, it will have two independent homogenous solution. However it will also have a particular solution. In the following (for a while) we will work on the particular solution and describe a procedure for obtaining one in the present case [39]. The particular solution can be found by making a simple observation on a maximally symmetric background.

$$\Box f = \frac{1}{r_d^2}\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \left(\frac{d}{2} - dz\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}z}\right], \tag{83}$$

where $r_d^2 = d(d-1)/R$ gives the radius of the deSitter space in d-dimensions. It should be noted that it gives a very useful identity,

$$\Box_d \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{r_{d-2}^2}{r_d^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\left[\Box_{d-2} + \frac{d-2}{r_{d-2}^2}\right] f\,, \tag{84}$$

where $\Box_{d-2}$ is the laplacian in the $d-2$ dimensions. Similarly one can derive,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\Box_d f = \frac{r_{d+2}^2}{r_d^2}\left[\Box_{d+2} - \frac{d}{r_{d+2}^2}\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}z}\,. \tag{85}$$

These identities will help us in finding the particular solution for the differential equation. It should be noted that by making use of these identities one can transform the eq. (81) into the following form,

$$r_{d+2}^2\left[\Box_{d+2} - \frac{d}{r_{d+2}^2}\right]\alpha = \frac{r_d^2}{2}\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{d+1}{2}(1-2z)\theta\right]. \tag{86}$$

Then using the identity in eq. (85) one can re-express the LHS in a simplified compact form and rewrite the differential equation for the variable α in the following manner,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\Box_d \int\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{d+1}{2}(1-2z)\theta\right], \tag{87}$$

where $\int\alpha = \int_0^z \mathrm{d}z'\alpha(z')$. This will give a first order ODE for the variable α. This first order ODE is given by,

$$z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{d}{2}(1-2z)\alpha = \frac{r_d^2}{2}\int_0^z \mathrm{d}z'\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{d+1}{2}(1-2z)\theta\right]. \tag{88}$$

Now this can be very easily solved for the particular solution $\alpha(z)$ by method of quadrature. The actual form of the particular solution is given by,

$$\alpha_p(z) = \frac{r_d^2}{[z(z-1)]^{d/2}} \int_0^z \mathrm{d}z' \left[z'(1-z')\right]^{d/2-1} \int_0^{z'} \mathrm{d}z'' \left[z''(1-z'')\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z''} + \frac{d+1}{2}(1-2z'')\theta \right] . \quad (89)$$

We performed the integration using *Mathematica*. The particular solution in four dimensions is found to be,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p(z) \;=\; & -\frac{R}{384\pi} \sec\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{1-48\gamma}\right\} \left[\frac{24\gamma z(z-1) + (a_1-2)z(2z-1) - 1}{z^2(z-1)(a_1-2)} \right. \\ & \times {}_2F_1(a_1-2, b_1-2; c_1-2; z) + \frac{1-24\gamma z(z-1)}{z^2(z-1)(a_1-2)}\, {}_2F_1(a_1-2, b_1-1; c_1-2; z) \\ & \left. -\frac{(6\gamma+1)(2z-3)}{(z-1)^2} \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

where $\gamma = m^2/R$. The full solution for the variable α consist of a part coming from homogenous equation also. As the differential equation in (81) is of second order, therefore it will have two homogenous solution, however we will choose the one which has a singularity in the $z \to 1$ limit and is regular for $z \to 0$ (large distance limit). This condition allows us to write the homogenous solution as,

$$\alpha_H(z) = p \times {}_2F_1(a_1', b_1'; c_1'; z) \, , \quad (91)$$

where the parameters are written in the eq. (82). The full solution is then given by,

$$\alpha(z) = \alpha_H(z) + \alpha_p(z) \, . \quad (92)$$

In order to find the value of parameter p in $\alpha_H(z)$, we require that the $z \to 1$ limit (the short distance behaviour) of the full $\alpha(z)$ should match with the strength of singularity of the transverse flat space-time propagator. In flat space-time the leading contribution proportional to $g_{\mu\nu'}$ in the transverse propagator is given by,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x, x') \sim \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right)^{d/2-1} g_{\mu\nu'} \, . \quad (93)$$

It should be noticed that in the $z \to 1$ limit, the leading singularity in the homogenous and particular solution, namely $\alpha_H(z)$ and $\alpha_p(z)$ respectively, goes like $\sim (1-z)^{-d/2}$, however the leading singularity in the flat space-time transverse propagator goes like $\sim (1-z)^{1-d/2}$. In order for the $z \to 1$ limit of the full solution to match the singularity of flat space-time propagator, it is required that the leading singularity in both the homogenous and particular solution should cancel each other. This fetches the value for the parameter p in four dimensions to be,

$$p = -\frac{R}{4}\left[\frac{1}{384\pi^2}\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{6\gamma+1}{32\pi}\sec\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{1-48\gamma}\right\}\right] . \quad (94)$$

It is noticed that once the leading singularity terms in the full solution for α are made zero, then the coefficient of next to leading singularity terms match with the strength of the

singularity of the flat space-time massive propagator given in eq. (93). The function $\beta(z)$ can be determined using the eq. (79) which when the transformation from σ to z is made acquires the following form,

$$\beta(z) = \frac{R}{2d(d-1)(\cos^{-1}\sqrt{z})^2}\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}z} + (1-z)\alpha - \frac{d(d-1)}{2R}z(1-z)\theta\right]. \tag{95}$$

In four dimensions the relevant functions are,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(z) &= \frac{R^2\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}{2304\pi^2}\,{}_2F_1(a_1,b_1;c_1;z)\\
\tau(z) &= -\frac{R^3\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}{27648\pi^2}\frac{z-1}{(\cos^{-1}\sqrt{z})^2}\left[z(2\gamma+1)\times_2 F_1(a_1+1,b_1+1;c_1+1;z) + {}_2F_1(a_1,b_1;c_1,z)\right]\\
\alpha(z) &= \frac{R}{4608\pi^2}\left[\frac{12\pi\sec\left(\pi(a_1-b_1)/2\right)}{z^2(z-1)(a_1-b_1+1)}\big\{(-48\gamma z(z-1)-(a_1-b_1+1)z(2z-1)+2)\right.\\
&\quad\times {}_2F_1(a_1-2,b_1-2;c_1-2;z) + (48\gamma z(z-1)-2)\times {}_2F_1(a_1-2,b_1-1;c_1-2;z)\big\}\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{2z-3}{\gamma(z-1)^2}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

Here for simplicity we have not written the expression for the function $\beta(z)$. It should be noticed that all the functions $\theta(z)$, $\tau(z)$, $\alpha(z)$ and $\beta(z)$ correctly reproduce the short distance limit, which matches with the strength of singularity in flat space-time. In the limit when the separation between the points is antipodal ($z \to 0$ limit), the functions are regular. However they do not go to zero in the $z \to 0$ limit. The limiting value of the massive transverse propagator at large distances is given by,

$$G^T_{\mu\nu'}(x,x')\big|_{z\to 0} \sim \frac{R}{1536\pi^2\gamma}\left[12\pi\gamma(1+6\gamma)\times\sec(\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{1-48\gamma})-1\right]\left[g_{\mu\nu'}+\frac{R}{6\pi^2}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right]. \tag{97}$$

From this it will look like that the $\gamma \to 0$ limit is singular. But this is misleading. When the $\gamma \to 0$ limit is taken one gets a perfectly regular answer. This is given by,

$$G^T_{\mu\nu'}(x,x')\big|_{z\to 0,\gamma\to 0} \sim -\frac{R}{256\pi^2}\left[g_{\mu\nu'}+\frac{R}{6\pi^2}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right]. \tag{98}$$

This matches with the $z \to 0$ limit of the transverse propagator in the massless vector field case (covered in the next section). It should also be noticed that this correlation is proportional to Ricci-scalar R, thereby implying that it vanishes in the $R \to 0$ limit. The massless limit and the $z \to 0$ limit completely commute with each other in the transverse part of the green's function.

B. Longitudinal Part

We then consider the longitudinal part of the full green's function for the massive vector field. This can be obtained using eq. (61) or (57). We use the later in order to obtain the longitudinal part of the green's function. It should be indicated that the past studies conducted for the massive vectors [31, 33] takes into account the longitudinal part also.

While the former computes the full green's function without distinguishing the transverse and longitudinal part, the later computes the propagator via canonical methods. The investigation done in [34] however doesn't take into account the longitudinal part. Here we compute the longitudinal part of the green's function explicitly using the imposition of the supplementary constraint given in eq. (57).

In the eq. (61) we first note that the longitudinal part appearing is completely dictated by the function $G(\sigma)$ alone. Double derivatives of this like $\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G(\sigma)$, can be easily computed on a maximally symmetric background as,

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G = C G' g_{\mu\nu'} + \left(G'' g_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{1+C}{2\sigma} G' \right) \sigma_\mu \sigma_{\nu'} = \alpha_L g_{\mu\nu'} + \beta_L \sigma_\mu \sigma_{\nu'} \,, \tag{99}$$

where we have used the expansion of $\sigma_{\mu\nu'}$ on maximally symmetric space and wrote it in terms of $g_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\sigma_\mu \sigma_{\nu'}$ using eq. (27) and in the second equality we just introduced new variables α_L and β_L as the coefficients of $g_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\sigma_\mu \sigma_{\nu'}$ respectively. It should be noticed that due the dependence of α_L and β_L on G, one can write β_L as follows,

$$\beta_L = C^{-1} \left(\alpha_L' + \frac{A+C}{2\sigma} \alpha_L \right) . \tag{100}$$

The longitudinal part of the green's function satisfies the following condition, which arises from the supplementary constraint given in eq. (57),

$$\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G(\sigma) = 0 \,. \tag{101}$$

This translates in to a condition on the functions α_L and β_L which is given by,

$$\alpha_L' - 2\sigma \beta_L' + \frac{(d-1)(A+C)}{2\sigma} \alpha_L - \left((d-1)A + 2 \right) \beta_L = 0 \,. \tag{102}$$

Making use of the expression for β_L from eq. (100), we eliminate β_L and its derivative from eq. (102) thereby obtaining an equation for the function $\alpha_L(\sigma)$. This is given by,

$$2\sigma \alpha_L'' + [(d+1)A + 1]\alpha' - \frac{R}{d-1} \alpha_L = 0 \,. \tag{103}$$

This should be compared with the corresponding equation (137) for the function α_L in the massless vector case. There the RHS is dependent on the gauge parameter λ, and vanishes in the case of Landau gauge ($\lambda = 0$). This also implies that the present case is like solving the system in the Landau gauge, except the boundary condition imposed here will be different. Making transformation of variable from σ to z in the eq. (103), it acquires the following form,

$$z(1-z) \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \alpha_L}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \left[\frac{d}{2} + 1 - z(d+2) \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha_L}{\mathrm{d}z} - d\alpha_L = 0 \,. \tag{104}$$

This is a second order homogenous ODE of hypergeometric form with the parameters given in eq. (82). This will again have two linearly independent solutions. However we will chose the one which is singular at $z \to 1$ and regular at $z \to 0$. This will imply

$$\alpha_L(z) = q_L \times {}_2F_1(a_1', b_1'; c_1'; z) \,, \tag{105}$$

where q_L is the parameter to be fixed by comparing the short distance behaviour of $\alpha_L(z)$ with the singularity structure of the longitudinal part of the massive green's function in flat space-time background given in eq. (C12). This gives the value of q_L to be,

$$q_L = -\frac{1}{m^2}\frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(d/2)}\frac{(4\pi)^{-d/2}}{d}\left[\frac{R}{d(d-1)}\right]^{d/2}. \tag{106}$$

The factor of $1/m^2$ in front of the expression for q_L causes problems when the massless limit is taken. While the massless limit of the transverse propagator is completely well defined, the same is not true for the longitudinal part of the green's function. However, this shouldn't come as a surprise, as in flat space-time also the longitudinal part has the same problem. This is due to fact that when $m^2 \to 0$ at the level of path-integral, then the resulting action is gauge-invariant, and need to be gauge fixed in order to have a well-defined path-integral. This is one source of the problem. The other source of the problem is the presence of zero modes. The green's function when computed while summing over the various eigen-modes, it is noticed that mode corresponding to zero eigenvalue will cause problems and will lead to divergences in the propagator. This is the famous infrared problem in the deSitter background. The full longitudinal part of the green's function for the massive vector field in four dimensions is given by,

$$\alpha_L(z) = \frac{R}{4608\pi^2}\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{2z-3}{(1-z)^2}, \tag{107}$$

$$\beta_L(z) = \frac{R^2}{110592\pi^2}\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{z-3}{(1-z)^2(\cos^{-1}\sqrt{z})^2}. \tag{108}$$

The function $\alpha_L(z)$ can be integrated in order to work out the expression for $G(z)$ introduced in eq .(58). This can be done by integrating the following relation between $\alpha_L(z)$ and $G(z)$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}G(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{2d(d-1)}{R}\alpha_L. \tag{109}$$

In four dimensions when this is integrated we get the following $G(z)$ (modulo integration constant)

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{192\pi^2}\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[-\frac{1}{1-z} + 2\ln(1-z)\right]. \tag{110}$$

This should be compared with the analogous function obtained for massless case in eq. (144). $G(z)$ being proportional to $1/\gamma$ reminds us of the ill-defined massless limit of the longitudinal part of the massive green's function. This is a source of trouble. The $z \to 0$ limit of the longitudinal part is easy to compute. This when combined with the $z \to 0$ limit of the transverse part of the massive propagator, the $z \to 0$ limit of the full propagator is given by,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(z)|_{z\to 0} \sim -\frac{R}{256\pi^2}\left[1+\frac{1}{6\gamma}\right]\left[g_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{R}{6\pi^2}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right]. \tag{111}$$

Here the term proportional to the $1/\gamma$ is coming from the longitudinal part. It should be mentioned that the massless limit and $z \to 0$ limit commute in the case of transverse part of the massive green's function and is computable, while the same is not true for longitudinal part. This will also imply that massless limit of the full propagator cannot be taken, where

the source of the problem is arising from the longitudinal part of the massive green's function. The other interesting point to note is the negative sign in front of the correlation between the fields at antipodal separation. This hints that perhaps there are some edge states whose contributions need to be taken correctly.

V. MASSLESS VECTOR FIELD

Now we consider the case for massless vector fields. Massless vector fields occur in many context. For example the photon field is described by a massless vector, and the same is true of the massless gluon field. For simplicity we consider the case of massless photon field, as the gauge group is simpler for them. The euclidean action for the photon field is given by,

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^d x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} (\nabla_\mu A^\mu)^2 \right], \tag{112}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu$ as defined before is the field strength tensor for the vector field A_μ. The action for the gauge field is given by the first term of eq. (112), which has a $U(1)$ gauge invariance. When the path-integral is written for the $U(1)$ invariant action, it is noticed that the measure over the field is not well defined and needs to be gauge fixed in order to prevent the over counting of gauge orbits. For this reason we have added the second term in the eq. (112) as the gauge fixing action. This is done via the Faddeev-Popov procedure and will generate ghost determinants in the analysis. However these determinants will not effect our study of the green's function, as they don't play any role in their analysis. In the eq. (112) λ is the gauge parameter. One can then follow the steps of the previous section and notice that the equation satisfied by the two-point function is given by

$$W^{\mu\nu} \langle A_\nu A_{\nu'} \rangle = \left[\left(-\Box + \frac{R}{d} \right) g^{\mu\nu} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \right] \langle A_\nu A_{\nu'} \rangle = \frac{g^\mu{}_{\nu'} \delta(x - x')}{\sqrt{g'}}, \tag{113}$$

where $\langle A_\nu A_{\nu'} \rangle = G_{\mu\nu'}(x, x')$ is the green's function for the massless propagator. Again as in previous section we solve for the green's function for the non-coincident points and then match with the flat space-time case at short distances, which is like employing the correct boundary condition. From eq. (113) one can determine $\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} A_{\nu'} \rangle$ analogous to eq. (56), which is given by,

$$\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} A_{\nu'} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \langle A_\nu A_{\nu'} \rangle . \tag{114}$$

These two equations will help us in determining the green's function for the massless vector fields on a DeSitter background. From now onwards we will follow some of the steps that were used in the computation of propagator of massive vector fields. As in eq. (58) we decompose the green's function $G_{\mu\nu'}$ for massless vector field in to transverse and longitudinal parts namely $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G$ respectively, where the transverse part satisfies eq. (59). Plugging this decomposition in the eq. (113), gives us relation between the longitudinal and transverse part of the massless green's function to be,

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} \Box G = \lambda \left(-\Box + \frac{R}{d} \right) G^T_{\mu\nu'} . \tag{115}$$

From this we notice that the longitudinal part will be proportional to the gauge parameter λ. Therefore in Landau gauge it will be zero. This equation can be rewritten in the following

form,

$$\left(-\Box + \frac{R}{d}\right)\left[\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G + \lambda G^T_{\mu\nu'}\right] = 0\,. \tag{116}$$

This implies as before that solving for one, will give us the other.

A. Transverse Part

We decide to solve for the transverse part of the green's function first. On a maximally symmetric background the transverse part can be written as in eq. (62). We then consider the quantity $\langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$. As this quantity is gauge invariant therefore the longitudinal part of $G_{\mu\nu'}$ drops out from this as before and it depends only on $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$. Then proceeding as before we write the quantity $\langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ as a linear combination of $g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'} g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$ and $\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'} g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$ as in eq. (63), with the coefficients θ and τ as functions of σ respectively. Then θ and τ are given in terms of α and β as in eq. (64). Considering the covariant divergence of $\langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ and making use of eq. (114), it is found that

$$\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\nabla_\nu \Box \langle a F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle\,, \tag{117}$$

where we have decomposed the vector field A_μ in to transverse and longitudinal part as $A_\mu = A^T_\mu + \nabla_\mu a$, with condition $\nabla^\mu A^T_\mu = 0$. As we are on a maximally symmetric background, therefore the expectation value on the RHS can be written as a linear combination of $g^{\mu'\nu'}$ and $\sigma^{\mu'}\sigma^{\nu'}$. However these quantities are symmetric in the pair (μ', ν') thereby implying the quantity $\langle a F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ will be zero. This give us a supplementary condition,

$$\nabla^\mu \langle F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = 0\,. \tag{118}$$

This should be contrasted with the similar condition that is obtained in the massive case (check eq. (65)). It should be emphasised that the two equations (65 and 118) agree with each other in the massless limit. The condition stated in eq. (118) will translate in to a condition on the variables θ and τ. By making use of eq. (66) it is noticed that eq. (118) will imply

$$-\theta' + \sigma\tau' - \frac{(d-2)(A+C)}{2\sigma}\theta + \frac{(d-2)A+2}{2}\tau = 0\,, \tag{119}$$

where the 'prime' here denotes the derivative of the variable with respect to the argument σ. From the supplementary condition stated in eq. (119) one can eliminate τ by making use of the expressions given in eq. (64). After doing the algebra and some simplifications one acquires a simple looking equation for the variable θ. This is given by,

$$2\sigma\theta'' + [(d+1)A + 1]\theta' - \frac{2R}{d}\theta = 0\,. \tag{120}$$

This should be compared with the equation for the function $\theta(\sigma)$ in the case of massive vector field, given in eq. (68). The two equations are exactly the same except for the presence of mass term in the massive vector case. Using the expression for A on a deSitter space from eq. (45) and making the change of variable from σ to z by making use of the definition for

z given in eq. (69), we will get a differential equation for the variable θ in terms of z. This equation will have a hyper-geometric form and is given by following,

$$z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\theta}{\mathrm{d}z^2}+\left[\frac{d}{2}+1-(d+2)z\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z}-2(d-1)\theta=0\,. \tag{121}$$

One should compare this equation with the equation for $\theta(z)$ in eq. (70). The two are same except for mass term in later. This differential equation is of Hyper-geometric form with the parameters,

$$a_1=d-1\,,\quad b_1=2\,,\quad c_1=\frac{d}{2}+1\,. \tag{122}$$

The differential equation in (121) being second order, will have two linearly independent solutions. But its only in the Bunch-Davis vacuum that we get the propagator with the required physical properties *i.e* 1) having the same short distance singularity as in flat space-time, 2) regularity at large distances. These two conditions helps us in finding the right solution for the homogenous equation. This can be written as,

$$\theta(z)=q\times_2 F_1(a_1,b_1;c_1;z)\,, \tag{123}$$

where q is determined by comparing the value of $\theta(z)$ in the limit $z\to 1$ with the flat space-time expression for $\theta(z)$ given in appendix D in eq. (D7). The value of q is,

$$q=\frac{2}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\left[\frac{R}{d(d-1)}\right]^{d/2}\frac{\Gamma(d-1)}{\Gamma(d/2+1)}\,. \tag{124}$$

On comparing this solution for the function $\theta(z)$ with the corresponding one in the massive vector case eq. (73), it is noticed that the later matches the former if the mass $m^2\to 0$ in the later. Once $\theta(z)$ has been found, it can be used to compute the value of $\tau(z)$ using the eq. (77). This is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(z)\;=\;&\frac{2\Gamma(d-1)}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\left(\frac{R}{d(d-1)}\right)^2\frac{z-1}{(\cos^{-1}\sqrt{z})^2}\left[\frac{{}_2F_1(d-1,2;d/2+1;z)}{\Gamma(d/2+1)}\right.\\&\left.+\frac{(d-1)z\,{}_2F_1(d,3;d/2+2;z)}{\Gamma(d/2+2)}\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

This also matches with the function $\tau(z)$ found in the massive vector case in the mass $m^2\to 0$ limit. Once we find the function $\theta(z)$, it can be used to compute the functions α and β. We make use of the transversality constraint stated in eq. (59), to write a relation between α and β. This is given in eq. (78). Using this relation and by eliminating β and β' from the equation by using eq. (79), we arrive at an equation for the function α. This is given by,

$$2\sigma\alpha''+[(d+1)A+1]\alpha'-\frac{R}{d-1}\alpha=\frac{\sigma}{2C}\theta'+\frac{(d+1)A}{4C}\theta\,. \tag{126}$$

This should be compared with the corresponding equation (80) for the function α in the case of massive vector. It is worth noting that the LHS of the two equations are exactly the same. However although the RHS has the same structure but they are different as in massive case, the function θ has mass dependence. But in the limit of $m^2\to 0$ the two

equation are identical. We can do a change of variable from σ to z as previously done and obtain a differential equation for $\alpha(z)$. The equation for $\alpha(z)$ is given by,

$$z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\alpha}{\mathrm{d}z^2}+\left[\frac{d}{2}+1-(d+2)z\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}z}-d\alpha=\frac{d(d-1)}{R}\left[\frac{z(1-z)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z}+\frac{d+1}{4}(1-2z)\theta\right]. \quad (127)$$

This is an in-homogenous differential equation of hyper-geometric form with the parameters,

$$a_1'=d\,,\quad b_1'=1\,,\quad c_1'=\frac{d}{2}+1\,. \quad (128)$$

This equation being a second order in-homogenous differential equation, will have two linearly independent homogenous solution beside a particular solution. The particular solution is found by using the same steps as in previous section, namely the eq. (83 - 88), and write the particular solution as in eq. (89) to be,

$$\alpha_p(z)=\frac{r_d^2}{[z(z-1)]^{d/2}}\int_0^z \mathrm{d}z'\,[z'(1-z')]^{d/2-1}\int_0^{z'}\mathrm{d}z''\left[z''(1-z'')\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z''}+\frac{d+1}{2}(1-2z'')\theta\right], \quad (129)$$

where $\theta(z)$ is given in eq. (123). In four dimensions the particular solution is given by,

$$\alpha_p(z)=\frac{R}{768\pi^2}\left[-\frac{2z^2-z-2}{z(1-z)^2}+\frac{2(2z+1)\ln(1-z)}{z^2}\right]. \quad (130)$$

The full solution for the function $\alpha(z)$ also contains a homogenous part. This homogenous part is given by

$$\alpha_H(z)=p\times {}_2F_1(a_1',b_1';c_1';z)\,, \quad (131)$$

where the parameters are written in eq. (128). The value of the parameter p is determined as before, by requiring that the strength of singularity of the full solution should match the strength of singularity of the transverse propagator in flat space-time. This requirement implies that the leading singularity in the particular and the homogenous solution, which goes like $\sim(1-z)^{-d/2}$ should cancel each other. This imposition gives the value of p in four dimensions to be,

$$p=-\frac{R}{256\pi^2}\,. \quad (132)$$

Once the value of p is fixed, it is noticed that the singularity proportional to $(1-z)^{1-d/2}$ in the full $\alpha(z)$, matches the term proportional to $g_{\mu\nu'}$ in the transverse contribution of the flat space-time propagator, where the later is given by,

$$G^{\rm flat}_{\mu\nu'}\sim\frac{1}{d-2}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{1-d/2}g_{\mu\nu'}\,. \quad (133)$$

The solution to the function $\beta(z)$ can be found using eq. (95). In four dimensions the functions α and β are therefore given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(z) &= \frac{R}{384\pi^2}\left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)}+\frac{(2z+1)\ln(1-z)}{z^2}\right],\\ \beta(z) &= -\frac{R^2}{9216\pi^2(\cos^{-1}\sqrt{z})^2}\left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)}+\frac{(1-z)\ln(1-z)}{z^2}\right].\end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

Once $\alpha(z)$ and $\beta(z)$ are found, we have the information about the transverse part of the Green's function $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$. This can then be used to determine the longitudinal part $G(z)$ of the green's function.

B. Longitudinal Part

The longitudinal part of the green's function can be obtained from eq. (116). Solving for the longitudinal part G is a bit involved. Writing the longitudinal part $\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G$ as in eq. (99), we note the relation between the longitudinal functions α_L and β_L given in eq. (100). As $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$ has been written in terms of α and β in eq. (62), therefore we compute the action of the operator $(\Box - R/d)$ on $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$. In particular we consider the coefficient of $g_{\mu\nu'}$. This coefficient can then be simplified using eq. (64 and 80). Finally after a bit of manipulation this is given by,

$$\left(\Box - \frac{R}{d}\right) G^T_{\mu\nu'}\bigg|_{g_{\mu\nu'}} = \frac{\sigma}{2C}\theta' + \frac{(d-1)A}{4C}\theta\,. \tag{135}$$

Then we consider the coefficient of $g_{\mu\nu'}$ in the $(\Box - R/d)\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G$. As $\nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G$ has an expansion given in eq. (99), therefore it is found that coefficient proportional to $g_{\mu\nu'}$ is given by following,

$$\left(\Box - \frac{R}{d}\right) \nabla_\mu \nabla_{\nu'} G\bigg|_{g_{\mu\nu'}} = 2\sigma\alpha_L'' + ((d-1)A+1)\alpha_L' - \frac{(A+C)^2}{2\sigma}\alpha_L - \frac{R}{d}\alpha_L + 2\beta_L AC\,. \tag{136}$$

Expressing β_L in terms of α_L using eq. (100) and using eq. (116), we obtain an equation for α_L to be,

$$2\sigma\alpha_L'' + [(d+1)A+1]\alpha_L' - \frac{R}{d-1}\alpha_L = -\lambda\left[\frac{\sigma}{2C}\theta' + \frac{d-1}{4}\frac{A}{C}\theta\right]. \tag{137}$$

This is the differential equation for the longitudinal part of the Green's function. This should be compared with the analogous equation obtained for $\alpha_L(\sigma)$ in the massive vector case (see eq. (103)), where the RHS is zero, and can be obtained by putting $\lambda = 0$ (Landau gauge) in the eq. (137). This can be rewritten by a change of variable from σ to z using eq. (69), after which it acquires the following form,

$$z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\alpha_L}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \left[\frac{d}{2} + 1 - z(d+2)\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha_L}{\mathrm{d}z} - d\alpha_L = -\lambda\frac{d(d-1)}{2R}\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z} + (1-2z)\frac{d-1}{2}\theta\right]. \tag{138}$$

This is a second order in-homogenous differential equation of hyper-geometric form with the parameters same as in eq. (128). This equation will again have a homogenous solution and a particular solution. The particular solution is obtained as before, and is given by

$$\alpha_L^p(z) = -\frac{\lambda r_d^2}{[z(z-1)]^{d/2}}\int_0^z \mathrm{d}z'\,[z'(1-z')]^{d/2-1}\int_0^{z'}\mathrm{d}z''\left[z''(1-z'')\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}z''} + \frac{d-1}{2}(1-2z'')\theta\right], \tag{139}$$

while the homogenous solution is given by

$$\alpha_L^H(z) = p_L \times {}_2F_1(a_1', b_1'; c_1'; z)\,, \tag{140}$$

where the parameter p_L is to be fixed later. In four dimension one can solve for the particular solution by using the known form of the function $\theta(z)$ given in eq. (123). This is given by

$$\alpha_L^p(z) = -\frac{\lambda R}{768\pi^2}\left[-\frac{2z^2 - z - 2}{z(1-z)^2} + \frac{2(2z+1)\ln(1-z)}{z^2}\right]. \tag{141}$$

The parameter p_L in the homogenous solution is determined by requiring that the singularity proportional to $(1-z)^{-d/2}$ in the homogenous solution should cancel the corresponding singularity in the particular solution. This fixes the value for p_L in four dimensions to be,

$$p_L = \frac{11\lambda R}{2304\pi^2}\,. \tag{142}$$

Once this is fixed it is noticed that the next to leading singularity, which is proportional to $(1-z)^{1-d/2}$ in the full solution for the α_L matches exactly the longitudinal contribution in the massless propagator in flat space-time. In four dimensions the full solution to the longitudinal α_L (and the solution to β_L using eq. (100)) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_L(z) &= \frac{R\lambda}{1152\pi^2}\left[\frac{4z-1}{z(1-z)} - \frac{(2z+1)\ln(1-z)}{z^2}\right], \\
\beta_L(z) &= \frac{R^2\lambda}{27648\pi^2(\cos^{-1}\sqrt{z})^2}\left[\frac{2z^2-4z-1}{z(z-1)} + \frac{(1-z)\ln(1-z)}{z^2}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

Once knowledge of $\alpha_L(z)$ is acquired, it can be used to determine the function G using eq. (109). This when integrated in four dimensions using mathematica, gives the following function $G(z)$,

$$G(z) = \frac{\lambda}{32\pi^2}\left[\mathrm{Li}_2(z) + \frac{(1-4z)\ln(1-z)}{2z}\right], \tag{144}$$

where $\mathrm{Li}_2(z)$ is the poly-log function.

In four dimensions the transverse part of the photon green's function is given by eq. (134) and the longitudinal part is given in eq. (143). In either case we notice that the $z \to 1$ limit correctly matches the strength of singularity in flat space-time, while these functions are regular in the $z \to 0$ limit. However in the $z \to 0$ limit, these function don't approach zero, instead goes to some constant. In the massless case the propagator in this limit (when the points are antipodal) has the following form,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(z)|_{z\to 0} \sim \left(-\frac{R}{256\pi^2} + \frac{11R\lambda}{2304\pi^2}\right)\left[g_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{R}{6\pi^2}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right]. \tag{145}$$

This should be compared with the massive vector case. In the Landau gauge ($\lambda = 0$), the antipodal limit in the massless case matches exactly the $z \to 0$ limit in the massive vector case, when the mass is taken to zero. This holds as a consistency check in our computation. It should be specified here that the antipodal point separation limit is not the true infrared limit which is usually witnessed in flat space-time. The reason being the euclidean deSitter is compact (basically d-sphere in d-dimensions), therefore the maximum separation that two points can have is half the circumference of circle joining the two points, not infinity which would be the case in Lorentzian space-times. However, the non-vanishing of this limit in our present case hints that there might be edge states living on the boundary, whose effects need to be correctly incorporated.

VI. COMPARISON WITH PAST WORK

Here in this section we compare our results with the green's function that has been obtained in the past [31, 33]. We do this comparison for both the massive and massless

vector fields. It has been shown in [33] that their results agree with the ones given in [31] when their Stueckelberg parameter goes to infinity in the case of massive vectors. As this agreement has already been established, therefore we only investigate the comparison of our results with the ones given in [31]. This is easily done, as the notation used by us is somewhat similar to the ones used in [31]. The results of [34] are seen to agree with the result of [33] in the limit when the Stueckelberg parameter goes to zero. The Stueckelberg parameter of [33] play the role of gauge-fixing parameter in the gauge theories. While the limit of this parameter going to infinity, it imposes the gauge fixing condition like a delta-function in the space of fields, the limit of the parameter going to zero, doesn't impose any constraint on the vector fields at all. With this knowledge it is realised from the beginning that our results in the massive vector case should agree with the ones in [31, 33] in the limit of Stueckelberg parameter going to infinity, while in the case of [34], the agreement should be only for the transverse part of the green's function (as anyway the authors there are only computing the transverse green's function). As the results from [33] agree with the ones in [34] when the Stueckelberg parameter goes to zero, this implies that the two agree on the transverse green's function. But it has already been shown in [33] that their results agree with [31] when the Stueckelberg parameter goes to infinity, thereby implying that the two agree not only on the transverse part, but also the longitudinal part. So, all we will do in our paper is to check whether we agree with the results of [31] or not.

Instead of using the geodetic interval $\sigma(x,x')$, the authors in [31] use the arc-length $\mu(x,x')$ as the bi-scalar. But $\sigma(x,x')$ and $\mu(x,x')$ are related to each other by,

$$\sigma(x,x') = \frac{1}{2}\mu(x,x')^2\,. \tag{146}$$

Then the derivatives of σ and μ are related to each other by,

$$\sigma_\alpha = \mu\,\mu_\alpha\,,\;\; \sigma_\alpha\sigma_\beta = \mu^2\mu_\alpha\mu_\beta\,,\;\; \sigma_\alpha\sigma_{\beta'} = \mu^2\mu_\alpha\mu_{\beta'}\,. \tag{147}$$

Once this is specified, it is easy to translate the green's function from one language to another. We start by computing $\alpha(\mu)$ and $\beta(\mu)$ by using the expressions written in eq. (3.18) of [31]. It should be noted that the bi-scalar $z(x,x')$ is same both the language. So, once the $\alpha(\mu)$ and $\beta(\mu)$ are computed, they are then written as function of $z(x,x')$. The function $\alpha(z)$ and $\beta(z)$ are given by following,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(z) &= -\frac{(4\pi)^{-d/2}}{d\gamma}\frac{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}{\Gamma(d/2+2)}\left(\frac{R}{d(d-1)}\right)^{d/2-1}\Bigg[(d\gamma+2)z(1-z){}_2F_1(a_1+1,b_1+1;c_1+1;z)\\
&\quad -\frac{d+2}{4}(2z-1){}_2F_1(a_1,b_1;c_1;z)\Bigg]\,,\\
\beta(z) &= -\frac{(4\pi)^{-d/2}}{d\gamma}\frac{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}{\Gamma(d/2+2)}\left(\frac{R}{d(d-1)}\right)^{d/2-1}(1-z)\Bigg[(d\gamma+2)z{}_2F_1(a_1+1,b_1+1;c_1+1;z)\\
&\quad +\frac{d+2}{2}{}_2F_1(a_1,b_1;c_1;z)\Bigg]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

Having written $\alpha(z)$ and $\beta(z)$ for massive vectors of [31] in arbitrary dimensions, we now extract information from it to seek comparison with our own results. The sign ambiguity with them just indicates the different choice of conventions. From this we first extract the longitudinal piece. As the longitudinal piece is divergent as $m^2 \to 0$, therefore it is easy to

single out, by expanding the above expressions in powers of m^2. The term proportional to $1/m^2$ is the longitudinal piece. This is given by,

$$\alpha_L^{\rm Al}(z) \;=\; -\frac{2(4\pi)^{-d/2}}{R\gamma}\frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(d/2+2)}\left(\frac{R}{d(d-1)}\right)^{d/2}\Bigg[z(1-z)_2F_1(a_1',b_1'+2;c_1';z) \\ +\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)(1-2z)_2F_1(a_1'-1,b_1'+1;c_1';z)\Bigg]\,, \tag{149}$$

where the superscript 'Al' implies results obtained using the expression from [31]. This longitudinal piece matches with our longitudinal part of green's function mentioned in eq. (107) (after using some properties of the hyper-geometric functions). Now we make a comparison with the transverse part of the green's function. To do this, we go through a non-direct route *i.e* we consider the $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ correlation for the green's function given in [31]. The reason why we do this is because $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ correlation only contains the transverse piece and not the longitudinal part. If we agree at this stage, then that implies complete agreement. To make this comparison, we first translate the $\alpha(\mu)$ and $\beta(\mu)$ of [31] in to our notation. This is given by,

$$\alpha(\sigma) = \alpha^{\rm Al}(\sqrt{2\sigma})\,, \qquad \beta(\sigma) = \frac{\beta^{\rm Al}(\sqrt{2\sigma})}{2\sigma}\,. \tag{150}$$

Then we make use of the expression given in eq. (64) to compute $\theta(\sigma)$ and $\tau(\sigma)$. Making the change of variable from σ to z, allows us to simplify the algebra and make use of some of the properties of hypergeometric functions. Once this simplification is achieved via properties of hyper-geomertic functions then the resulting expression matches with our result for $\theta(\sigma)$. This will then imply matching of $\alpha(\sigma)$ and $\beta(\sigma)$ for the massive vector fields.

The case of massless vector fields is easy. We have here done the computation for the arbitrary gauge-fixing parameter λ. We notice that in the gauge $\lambda = 1$ our results agree with the ones given in [31], after we make the transformation by using the prescription given above. To compare the results for arbitrary λ, we consider the expression given in [32]. However the gauge parameter λ there is inverse of the gauge parameter λ used by us. Keeping this under track, it is noticed that their expression exactly matches with our results.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we computed the propagator for vector fields on DeSitter background. We considered two cases of interest: massive and massless vector fields. For completeness we started with a discussion on bi-tensors in general[4]. This we covered in section II[5] After this

[4] We used Synge's world function, the bi-scalar $\sigma(x,x')$, in our study of green's function. This should be contrasted with the all past studies done in the area, where the bi-scalar $\mu(x,x')$ was used, which is actually proportional to square-root of the geodetic interval $\sigma(x,x')$. We decided to work with $\sigma(x,x')$ as it is always real (irrespective of the signature of metric), unlike $\mu(x,x')$ which can become complex in Lorentzian space-times. We have also not embedded deSitter space-time in flat space-time by going to one higher dimension, thereby doing the analysis using a higher dimensional world function, which has been done in [9]. In this sense we differ from [9].

[5] Although there is nothing new in this section, but for completeness we decided to incorporate it in the manuscript for the ease of the readers.

we studied bi-tensors on a maximally symmetric space-times. Bi-tensors on a maximally symmetric background have been previously studied [26, 27]. Here we made use of the important work done in [26, 27], to write the arbitrary bi-tensors on maximally symmetric space-time as a linear combination of bi-tensors constructed using σ_μ, $\sigma_{\mu'}$ and $g_{\mu\nu'}$. We computed the expression for $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$, $\sigma_{\mu\nu'}$ and $g_{\mu\nu';\rho}$ on a maximally symmetric background. These are basic tensors that are needed in our analysis of green's function. More complicated bi-tensors can be constructed by making use of them. Some of the more complicated ones that are used in the computation of green's function have been considered in the appendix A.

We then proceeded to compute the propagator of the vector fields in the massive and massless case respectively. During the computation we kept in mind the following points,

- At short distance the propagator on a DeSitter background should match the known result for the propagator on a flat space-time.
- At large distance the propagator should be regular.

These considerations helped us in choosing the right vacuum on the deSitter background, which is the Bunch-Davis vacuum [11], and the propagators are computed in this vacuum. This strategy of choosing right vacuum is well know and has been used by many in the past [31, 34] (and references therein). Here we just follow some of those footsteps, and give a more unified treatment to both massive and massless vector fields.

We use the path-integral language to write the equation satisfied by the green's functions (check eq. (51, 54, and 113)). At the tree level and cases without interaction, this methodology matches with the style of computing via equation of motion, but deviations starts to occur when interactions are present and when full exact propagator is computed. In either case of massive and massless vectors we start by analysing the correlation $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$. This being a gauge invariant quantity, depends only on the transverse part of the green's function. We compute an additional quantity $\nabla^\mu\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ using the equation satisfied by the connected two-point correlation function.

We decompose the full green's function $\langle A_\nu(x)A_{\nu'}(x')\rangle$ in to transverse and longitudinal parts. They are given by $G^T_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\nabla_\mu\nabla_{\nu'}G$ respectively, where the transverse part satisfies the condition $\nabla^\mu G^T_{\mu\nu'} = 0$. It is shown that the transverse and longitudinal parts are obviously related to each other, due to the equation satisfied by the full green's function. In the massive case we get an extra constraint on the green's function $\nabla^\nu\langle A_\nu(x)A_{\nu'}(x')\rangle = 0$ (however this constraint doesn't imply that the longitudinal part of the green's function is zero, as is taken to be the case in [34]). This is similar to Landau gauge in massless theories. We decide to solve for the transverse part of the green's function in both the massive and massless case. On a maximally symmetric background we write the transverse part of propagator as linear combination of $g_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}$, with coefficients α and β respectively being functions of σ. Then we consider the quantity $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ on a maximally symmetric background, where it can be written as a linear combination of $g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$ and $\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$, with the coefficients named θ and τ respectively. These coefficients are related to α and β through the relations given in eq. (64). By using the equation satisfied by $\nabla^\mu\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$, we find the differential equation satisfied by the function θ, which when written after a change of variable from σ to z (as defined in eq. (69)), acquires a recognisable form of differential equation for the hypergeometric function. This is a second order differential equation and has two linearly independent solutions. The right solution is picked by demanding that the solution should be singular at short distance and regular in the $z \to 0$ limit. This picks one

of the linearly independent solution. The proportionality constant is fixed by demanding that the strength of singularity of the solution in deSitter should match the strength of singularity in flat space-time. Once $\theta(z)$ is obtained, it is used to find the function $\tau(z)$ using eq. (77). Finding these two functions determines the quantity $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$ completely. This is then used to determine α and β. As the transverse green's function satisfies the transversality constraint $\nabla^{\mu}G^{T}_{\mu\nu'} = 0$, therefore this gives a relation between the function α, β and their derivatives. This is given in eq. (78). From this β and β' are eliminated using the first equation from (64), which ultimately gives an equation for the function α. This equation for both the massive (80) and massless (126) case has the same structure, except the information stored in $\theta(\sigma)$ on the RHS of these equation. These when written by making a change of variable from σ to z, is an in-homogenous second order differential equation of hypergeometric type. This has both particular and homogenous solution. First we determine the particular solution, and then choose the homogenous solution. The coefficient in front of the homogenous solution is fixed by requiring that the leading singularity in both the particular and homogenous solution should cancel each other. Once the coefficient is fixed by using this requirement, it is noticed that the strength of next to leading singularity of the full solution for the transverse propagator matches the strength of singularity of the transverse propagator in flat space-time. Once the full solution for the function $\alpha(z)$ is found, it is used to determine $\beta(z)$ by using eq. (95). This give us the knowledge of the full transverse propagator on deSitter background. In the massive case it is noticed that the transverse propagator has a well-defined massless limit, in fact when $m^2 \to 0$ the massive transverse propagator go to the massless transverse propagator (which is gauge independent). Here we wrote the expressions for the four dimensions but this in true for arbitrary space-time dimensions.

We then proceeded to determine the longitudinal part of the propagator. The longitudinal part $\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu'}G$ is first written as a linear combination of $g_{\mu\nu'}$ and $\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu'}$, with the coefficients α_L and β_L respectively, as in eq. (99). It is noticed that β_L is related to α_L through the relation given in eq (100). So one needs to solve for α_L only, which can then be used to finally determine $G(z)$ and $\beta(z)$. In the case of massive vectors, the presence of constraint $\nabla^{\nu}\langle A_{\nu}(x)A_{\nu'}(x')\rangle = 0$, allows us to solve for the longitudinal part of the massive green's function. This generates an equation for the function $\alpha_L(\sigma)$. This is given by eq. (103). This should be compared with the corresponding equation obtained for the massless case (137), where the RHS is gauge dependent. Putting $\lambda = 0$ in the eq. (137) shows that it exactly matches with the massive case, indicating that the massive case is like considering Landau gauge. It is easy to solve the equation for $\alpha_L(z)$ for the massive case, as its a homogenous equation. While the corresponding equation for massless vector (138) is non-homogenous and had both particular and homogenous solution (and is dealt with in the same manner as is done for transverse part). In the massive case it is noticed that the solution for the longitudinal green's function has a $1/\gamma$ pole, meaning it diverges when mass goes to zero. This is expected, as the same thing happens even in flat space-time also. As a result of this, the massless limit of the full massive propagator is ill defined, while the transverse part of the massive propagator is completely well behaved and goes to massless transverse propagator in the massless limit, the same is not the case with the longitudinal part. One possible reason for this sickness is the presence of zero modes, which should be subtracted from the propagator, to get a well defined green's function on the deSitter background.

The interesting thing to note is the $z \to 0$ limit of the propagators. The $z \to 0$ limit of the massive transverse propagator matches with the $z \to 0$ limit of the massless transverse

propagator in the $m^2 \to 0$ limit. In either case of massive and massless propagators this correlation is negative and is proportional to the Ricci-scalar R, thereby vanishing when $R \to 0$ (flat limit). In deSitter background, the non-vanishing of the two-point function in the antipodal limit indicates that there could be some edge states on the boundary whose contribution needs to be taken carefully. The $z \to 0$ limit however is not the true infrared regime. The euclidean deSitter due to its compact nature, allow the maximum separation between the two points to be antipodal. This is unlike the Lorentzian deSitter where the maximum separation between two points is infinite.

In the end we compare our results with the known expression for the green's function for massive and massless vector fields. We note that we agree with the past literature on the known green's function for the massive and massless vector fields on the deSitter background. I would like to mention that while are results may not be new, but our style of computation is different from what has been attempted in past, in the sense that we compute the green's function by isolating the transverse part from the longitudinal part. This give us a benefit to the study the physical transverse part more carefully. It is seen that transverse part of both massive and massless theories are smoothly related to each other in the sense that transverse part of the massive vectors goes smoothly over to the ones of the massless theories in the massless limit. This comparison actually has been made at each level of our computation and give us confidence that it is possible to give a unified treatment of the massive and massless theories. The longitudinal part however doesn't have this smooth transition. Isolating the longitudinal part helps us in investigating the famous infrared divergence problem in the massive vector propagator when the mass goes to zero. This is located in the longitudinal part of the propagator and is therefore unphysical. While this should not be a source of concern given that such problematic divergence is located in the longitudinal part, and is not expected to enter in any physical entity. But its presence does make us a bit uneasy and would have been nice if such issues were not present.

The work can be generalised in several ways. The methodology described can be extended to take in to account interactions in a systematic way. It will be interesting to see whether in the presence of interaction there are formation of bound states or not. Finally it will be useful to translate this on a Lorentzian deSitter and investigate whether the propagator so obtained satisfies the various physical properties. Once the propagator of theory is obtained in Lorentzian space-time it will interesting to decipher the particle content from it. I plan to return to these issues in the subsequent paper.

Acknowledgements

GN would like to thank Ramesh Anishetty for several useful discussions. He would also like extend his thanks to Souradeep Majumder for his support during the course of this work. He would like to thank Prof. P. Spindel for pointing out [28]. Finally I will like to extend my gratitude to IMSc for hosting my visit and providing me wonderful hospitality, where a part of the work was done. I am very grateful to some of my colleagues at IF for providing continuous support and encouragement during the course of this work.

Appendix A: Useful Identities

Here in this appendix we write some of the identities which are true on a maximally symmetric background and have been used while doing the computation. In this section we

will be mostly using the expressions,

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = A(\sigma)\left[g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_\nu\right] + +\frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_\nu$$
$$\sigma_{\mu\nu'} = C(\sigma)\left[g_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\right] + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}$$
$$g_{\alpha\beta';\mu} = -\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}[g_{\mu\alpha}\sigma_{\beta'} + g_{\mu\beta'}\sigma_\alpha]\,. \tag{A1}$$

Contracting μ and α in the last equation, we have

$$\nabla^\mu g_{\mu\nu'} = -\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}(d-1)\sigma_{\nu'}\,. \tag{A2}$$

Acting with $\Box$ operator on $g_{\alpha\beta'}$ we have,

$$\Box g_{\alpha\beta'} = \left(\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}\right)^2[-2\sigma g_{\alpha\beta'} + (d-2)\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}]\,. \tag{A3}$$

By applying covariant derivative with respect to x on $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ and contracting it with one of the indices gives,

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\nu} = -\frac{(d-1)A(A-1)}{2\sigma}\sigma_\mu\,. \tag{A4}$$

Similarly applying covariant derivative with respect to x on $\sigma_{\mu\nu'}$ and contracting the index with μ gives,

$$\nabla^\mu\sigma_{\mu\nu'} = \frac{(d-1)(A-C^2)}{2\sigma}\sigma_{\nu'}\,. \tag{A5}$$

Now we consider the product of $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta'}$ and contract ν and α. This gives after a bit of manipulation and using eq. (A1),

$$\sigma_{\mu\rho}\sigma^\rho{}_{\nu'} = ACg_{\mu\nu'} + (1+AC)\frac{\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}}{2\sigma}\,. \tag{A6}$$

Now we can compute the expression of $\Box(\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'})$. This can be computed as follows,

$$\Box(\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}) = \sigma_{\nu'}\Box\sigma_\mu + \sigma_\mu\Box\sigma_{\nu'} + 2\sigma_{\mu\rho}\sigma^\rho_{\nu'}\ ,. \tag{A7}$$

Plugging the expression from the eq. (A4, A5 and A6) in eq. (A7) we get,

$$\Box(\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}) = 2ACg_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\left[2(d-1)A - (d-1)(A^2+C^2) + 2 + 2AC\right]\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}\,. \tag{A8}$$

During the computation of Green function for the massless vector field, we will require the expressions for the following: $\nabla_{[\mu}\nabla^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$, $\nabla_{[\mu}\nabla^{[\mu'}(\sigma_{\nu]}\sigma^{\nu']})$. They are given by following,

$$\nabla_{[\mu}\nabla^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} = \frac{C(A+C)}{2\sigma}g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} + \left[\left(\frac{A+C}{2\sigma}\right)^2 + \frac{(C-1)(A+C)}{4\sigma^2} + \frac{A'+C'}{2\sigma}\right]\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}\,, \tag{A9}$$

$$\nabla_{[\mu}\nabla^{[\mu'}(\sigma_{\nu]}\sigma^{\nu']} = -C^2g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} - \frac{C(1+C)}{\sigma}\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}\,. \tag{A10}$$

Beside these we will also be needing the expression for $\nabla^\mu(g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']})$ and $\nabla^\mu(\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']})$. These can be computed easily and are given by following,

$$\nabla^\mu(g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}) = -\frac{(d-2)(A+C)}{2\sigma}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu}{}^{\nu']}\,, \tag{A11}$$

$$\nabla^\mu(\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}) = \frac{(d-2)A+2}{2}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu}{}^{\nu']}\,. \tag{A12}$$

Now we will like to compute $\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\,(g^\nu{}_{\nu'})$ and $\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\,(\sigma^\nu\sigma_{\nu'})$. They will occur during the computation of the green's function for the massless vector fields. They are given by,

$$\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\,(g^\nu{}_{\nu'}) \;=\; -\frac{(d-1)C(A+C)}{2\sigma}g_{\mu\nu'}\,, \tag{A13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\,(\sigma^\nu\sigma_{\nu'}) \;=\; &[(d-1)(2A-C^2+AC)+2(1+C)]\frac{\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}}{2\sigma}\\ &+C[(d-1)A+2]g_{\mu\nu'}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A14}$$

Appendix B: Massive Scalar in Flat Space-time

The path-integral for the massive scalar theory in euclidean space-time is given by,

$$Z_E = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_E \exp\left[-\int \mathrm{d}^dx\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi_E\partial^\mu\phi_E+\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi_E^2\right)\right], \tag{B1}$$

where the field $\phi_E(x)$ is the scalar field living in the euclidean flat space-time, with the positive signature. If a source is added to the euclidean path-integral, then integrating over the scalar field ϕ_E in flat space-time, we obtain an expression for the source dependent path-integral for the free scalar field in flat euclidean space-time (the same can be obtained for curved space by replacing the flat metric with curved one). This is given by,

$$Z_E[J] = \text{const.}\,\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^dx J(x)\Delta_F^{-1}J(x)\right], \tag{B2}$$

where $\Delta_F = -\Box + m^2$. Taking derivatives of $Z[J]$ with respect to source twice, we obtain an expression for the two-point function for the scalar field. This is given by,

$$G_E(x,x') = \langle\phi_E(x)\phi_E(x')\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\frac{\delta^2 Z}{\delta J(x)\,\delta J(x')}\bigg|_{J=0} = \Delta_F^{-1}\delta(x-x')\,, \tag{B3}$$

Plugging the Fourier transform of the delta-function give us the two-point function in Fourier space, having the following familiar form,

$$G_E(x,x') = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^dp_E}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{e^{ip_E(x-x')}}{p_E^2+m^2}\,, \tag{B4}$$

where p_E is the momentum in the euclidean space. From now on for notational convenience we will be omitting the subscript E, as throughout this and the following appendix we will be dealing with euclidean Green's function.

Now we make use of the inverse Laplace transform to re-write the reciprocal of $p^2 + m^2$ as follows,

$$\frac{1}{p^2+m^2} = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}s\, e^{-s(p^2+m^2)}\,. \tag{B5}$$

Plugging this in the expression for propagator in eq. (B4), and integrating over p, we obtain a neat expression for the propagator for the free massive scalar in configuration space with euclidean signature,

$$G(x,x') = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}s\, \frac{1}{s^{d/2}} \exp\left[-\left(sm^2 + \frac{\sigma}{2s}\right)\right], \tag{B6}$$

where $\sigma = (x-x')^2/2$. Now as we interested in the small mass limit, therefore we expand the integrand in powers of m^2. This allows us to integrate over s, and we get the following expression for the Green's function in euclidean space for the free massive scalar,

$$G(x,x') = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{1-d/2} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right) - \frac{m^2\sigma}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-2\right) + \frac{m^4\sigma^2}{8}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-3\right) + \cdots\right], \tag{B7}$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Euler-Gamma function. From this we notice that in four dimensions, in the $\sigma \to 0$ limit the leading term is singular, while other terms are finite. Also the leading term is independent of the mass of the scalar field. The massless limit is regular.

Appendix C: Massive Vector in Flat Space-time

Now we consider the case of massive vector field and compute the propagator of the theory in flat euclidean space-time. The action for this theory in curved euclidean space-time is given in eq. (112) with the corresponding path-integral written in eq. (47). The path-integral in eq. (47) being gaussian in nature, can be performed easily thereby giving,

$$Z[J] = \text{const.}\, \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^d x J_\mu(x) \left(\Delta_F^{-1}\right)^{\mu\nu} J_\nu(x)\right], \tag{C1}$$

where $(\Delta_F)^{\mu\nu} = (-\Box + m^2)\delta^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu\partial^\nu$. Taking two derivative with respect to the source J_μ at the point $J_\mu = 0$ will give the two-point function, in other words the Green's function of the theory. This Green's function satisfies the following equation,

$$\left[(-\Box + m^2)\delta^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu\partial^\nu\right] \langle A_\nu A_{\nu'}\rangle = \eta^\mu{}_{\nu'}\delta(x-x')\,, \tag{C2}$$

where $\eta^\mu{}_{\nu'}$ is flat space-time parallel displacement bi-vector, while the Green's function is given by $G_{\nu\nu'} = \langle A_\nu A_{\nu'}\rangle$. Using eq. (C2) after doing some manipulation it is easy to obtain an expression for $\partial^\mu\langle F_{\mu\nu}A_{\nu'}\rangle$. This is given by,

$$\partial^\mu\langle F_{\mu\nu}A_{\nu'}\rangle = m^2\langle A_\nu A_{\nu'}\rangle - g_{\nu\nu'}\delta(x-x')\,. \tag{C3}$$

From this it is easily noticed that contracting the whole eq. (C3) with ∂^ν, the LHS vanishes identically (due to anti-symmetry of $F_{\mu\nu}$), while the RHS gives a constraint that need to be satisfied by the full Green's function. This constraint is given by,

$$\partial^\nu\langle A_\nu A_{\nu'}\rangle = \frac{1}{m^2}\eta_{\nu\nu'}\partial^\nu\delta(x-x')\,. \tag{C4}$$

It should be noticed that had we considered the case of non-coincident points then we would have got the constraint $\partial^{\nu}\langle A_{\nu}A_{\nu'}\rangle = 0$. It is crucial to retain the delta-function contribution in eq. (C4) as it then gives the correct longitudinal part of the green's function. The green's function however can be obtained via an alternative procedure. In configuration space, it is obtained by taking double derivative of the path-integral in eq. (C1) with respect to the source J_{μ}, where after the limit $J_{\mu} = 0$ is taken in the end. This gives the following form of the Green's function in the configuration space,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\left(\Delta_F^{-1}\right)_{\mu}{}^{\nu}\,\delta(x-x')\,. \tag{C5}$$

Plugging the Fourier transform of the delta function yields an expression for the propagator in the momentum space to be,

$$G_{\nu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^d p}{(2\pi)^d}\left[\frac{\delta^{\nu}{}_{\mu}}{p^2+m^2} + \frac{p_{\mu}p^{\nu}}{m^2(p^2+m^2)}\right]e^{ip(x-x')}\,. \tag{C6}$$

At this point it can be verified that this expression for the Green's function in momentum space satisfies the constraint stated in eq. (C4). This can be rewritten in a more compact form by making use of the Green's function of the massive scalar given in eq. (B4). This is given by,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\left(\delta^{\nu}{}_{\mu} - \frac{\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\nu}}{m^2}\right)G(x,x')\,. \tag{C7}$$

At this point we compute the quantity $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$. This quantity in flat space is given by,

$$\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = 4\partial_{[\mu}\partial^{[\mu'}\langle A_{\nu]}A^{\nu']}\rangle = 4g_{[\nu}{}^{[\nu'}\partial_{\mu]}\partial^{\mu']}G(x,x')\,. \tag{C8}$$

From this we see that the $1/m^2$ term cancels from the expression due to anti-symmetry. Using the expression for $G(x,x')$ from eq. (B7), we can evaluate the leading terms in the expansion of $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$. The first few terms of the series are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right)^{d/2+1}\Bigg[&\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} + \sigma\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}\\ &-\frac{m^2\sigma}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} - \frac{m^2\sigma^2}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} + \cdots\Bigg]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{C9}$$

From this series expansion we notice that the leading term proportional to $g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}$ is given by,

$$\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right)^{d/2}g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}\,. \tag{C10}$$

This can be compared with the short distance limit of the function $\theta(z)$ computed for the massive vector field on a DeSitter background in section IV.

From the expression for $G(x,x')$ in eq. (B7), one can also work out the flat space-time propagator for the massive vector field using the expression stated in eq. (C7). This is given by,

$$G_{\nu\nu'}(x,x') = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right)^{d/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)\left[\frac{\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu'}}{2m^2\sigma} + \frac{g_{\mu\nu'}}{d\,m^2} - \frac{\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu'}}{2d} + \frac{\sigma g_{\mu\nu'}}{d(d-2)} + \cdots\right]. \tag{C11}$$

From this we notice that the leading singularity for $m^2 \to 0$ and for small σ are the first two terms of the series expansion. However this singularity which arises in the massless limit is longitudinal in nature. This can be verified by explicitly computing the longitudinal part of the green's function in flat space-time. This is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} G^L_{\mu\nu'} &= g_\nu{}^{\nu'} \frac{\partial^\nu \partial_\mu}{m^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{ip(x-x')}}{p^2} = g_\nu{}^{\nu'} \frac{\partial^\nu \partial_\mu}{m^2} G(\sigma) \\ &= -\frac{1}{m^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right)^{d/2+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right) \left[\frac{1}{4}\sigma_\mu \sigma_{\nu'} + \frac{\sigma}{2d} g_{\mu\nu'}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{C12}$$

where $G(\sigma)$ is the euclidean green's function for the massless scalar in flat space-time. Its expression is given by following,

$$G(\sigma) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{ip(x-x')}}{p^2} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right). \tag{C13}$$

Removing the longitudinal part from the full green's function give us the transverse part of the propagator in flat space-time. This is given by,

$$G^T_{\mu\nu'} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \left[-\frac{\sigma_\mu \sigma_{\nu'}}{4} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{-d/2} + \frac{g_{\mu\nu'}}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right) \left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{1-d/2} + \cdots\right]. \tag{C14}$$

It is noticed that the transverse part of the green's function has a well defined massless limit. However the longitudinal part suffers from a singularity in the limit $m^2 \to 0$. But it should also be stressed that the longitudinal part here is non-propagating. The transverse and longitudinal part of the Green's function in flat space-time will be used as boundary condition to correctly determine the transverse and longitudinal part of the Green's function on the deSitter background.

Appendix D: Massless Vector in Flat Space-time

Now we consider the case of massless vector fields. Again we will work in the euclidean signature. We start by considering the path integral for the massless vector field which has been gauge fixed. In the euclidean signature this is given by,

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp\left[-\int \mathrm{d}^d x \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2\right) - \int \mathrm{d}^d x J_\mu A^\mu\right], \tag{D1}$$

where J_μ is the source. One can obtain various correlation function of the field A_μ by taking successive derivatives of the path-integral with respect to the source J. In particular two derivatives will give the two-point function of the theory. Being a free theory this will be the tree-level green's function of the theory. The path-integral being quadratic in the gauge field allows one to perform the gaussian type integral thereby giving an expression for the path-integral explicitly in terms of source and operators. This is given by,

$$Z[J] = \text{const.} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^d x J_\mu \left(\Delta_F^{-1}\right)^{\mu\nu} J_\nu\right]. \tag{D2}$$

where $(\Delta_F)_{\mu\nu} = -\delta_{\mu\nu}\Box + (\lambda-1)/\lambda\partial_\mu\partial_\nu$. Then the green's function for the massless vector is given by,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\left(\Delta_F^{-1}\right)_\mu{}^\nu\delta(x-x')\,. \tag{D3}$$

At this point one can plug the Fourier transform of the δ-function in the expression and obtain the green's function of the massless vector field in the momentum space to be,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^dp}{(2\pi)^d}\left[\frac{1}{p^2}\delta^\nu_\mu + (\lambda-1)\frac{p_\mu p^\nu}{p^4}\right]e^{ip(x-x')}\,. \tag{D4}$$

This can be rewritten by pulling out the derivative acting on x, thereby giving the expression for the green function for massless vector in terms of the green's function for massless scalar.

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\left[\delta^\nu_\mu + (\lambda-1)\frac{\partial_\mu\partial^\nu}{\partial^2}\right]\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^dp}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{e^{ip(x-x')}}{p^2}\,. \tag{D5}$$

Now from this expression one can compute the expectation value $\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle$. This is given by,

$$\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = 4g_{[\nu}{}^{[\nu'}\partial_{\mu]}\partial^{\mu']}G(\sigma)\,, \tag{D6}$$

where $G(\sigma)$ is given in eq. (C13), using which in eq. (D6) we obtain,

$$\langle F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\rangle = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}\left[\sigma_{[\mu}\sigma^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']} + \frac{2}{d\,\sigma}g_{[\mu}{}^{[\mu'}g_{\nu]}{}^{\nu']}\right]. \tag{D7}$$

To compute the quantity $\langle A_\mu A_{\nu'}\rangle$ instead of using the expression stated in the eq. (D5) (as it involves inverse of laplacian) we adopt a different strategy. We rewrite the eq. (D5) in a slightly different form as,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = -g_{\nu\nu'}\left[\delta^\nu_\mu\partial^2 + (\lambda-1)\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\right]\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^dp}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{e^{ip(x-x')}}{p^4}\,. \tag{D8}$$

Now the quantity under the integral can rewritten using the inverse Laplace transform as,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\left[\delta^\nu_\mu\partial^2 + (\lambda-1)\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\right]\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^dp}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}s\,se^{-sp^2+ip(x-x')}\,, \tag{D9}$$

At this point one can perform the integral over the momentum by completing the square, and then perform the integration of the variable s by making use of the definition of the Euler-Gamma functions. Then after a bit of manipulation we will get the following expression,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-2\right)\left[\delta^\nu_\mu\partial^2 + (\lambda-1)\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\right]\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{2-d/2}\,. \tag{D10}$$

This can be written in transverse and longitudinal parts by rewriting the derivative part in the following way,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = g_{\nu\nu'}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-2\right)\left[(\delta^\nu_\mu\partial^2 - \partial_\mu\partial^\nu) + \lambda\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\right]\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{2-d/2}\,. \tag{D11}$$

Now one can perform the derivative operation easily without running in to problems involving inverse of flat space-time laplacian operator. This gives the propagator in flat space-time for the photon field to be,

$$G_{\mu\nu'}(x,x') = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{1-d/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\left[\left\{\frac{g_{\mu\nu'}}{d-2}-\frac{\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}}{2\sigma}\right\}+\lambda\left\{\frac{g_{\mu\nu'}}{d-2}+\frac{\sigma_\mu\sigma_{\nu'}}{2\sigma}\right\}\right]. \quad \text{(D12)}$$

Here the term independent of λ is the transverse part of propagator while the term proportional to λ is the longitudinal part. In the Landau gauge ($\lambda = 0$) the longitudinal part disappears giving only the contribution from the transverse physical part. It should be noticed that the transverse part here for the massless propagator matches with the transverse part of the massive propagator in flat space time given in eq. (C14).

---

[1] A. H. Guth, "The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems," Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981).

[2] A. D. Linde, "A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems," Phys. Lett. B **108**, 389 (1982).

[3] A. A. Starobinsky, "Dynamics of Phase Transition in the New Inflationary Universe Scenario and Generation of Perturbations," Phys. Lett. B **117**, 175 (1982).

[4] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], "Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant," Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998) [astro-ph/9805201].

[5] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, "Quantum Fields in Curved Space," Published in: Cambridge Monograph. Math. Phys..

[6] M. J. Duff, "Inconsistency of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-time," In *Oxford 1980, Proceedings, Quantum Gravity 2*, 81-105

[7] N. A. Chernikov and E. A. Tagirov, "Quantum theory of scalar fields in de Sitter space-time," Annales Poincare Phys. Theor. A **9**, 109 (1968).

[8] E. A. Tagirov, "Consequences of field quantization in de Sitter type cosmological models," Annals Phys. **76**, 561 (1973).

[9] P. Candelas and D. J. Raine, "General Relativistic Quantum Field Theory-An Exactly Soluble Model," Phys. Rev. D **12**, 965 (1975).

[10] C. Schomblond and P. Spindel, "Unicity Conditions of the Scalar Field Propagator Delta(1) (x,y) in de Sitter Universe," Annales Poincare Phys. Theor. **25**, 67 (1976).

[11] T. S. Bunch and P. C. W. Davies, "Quantum Field Theory in de Sitter Space: Renormalization by Point Splitting," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **360**, 117 (1978).

[12] E. Mottola, "Particle Creation in de Sitter Space," Phys. Rev. D **31**, 754 (1985).

[13] C. J. C. Burges, "The De Sitter Vacuum," Nucl. Phys. B **247**, 533 (1984).

[14] B. Allen, "Vacuum States in de Sitter Space," Phys. Rev. D **32**, 3136 (1985).

[15] B. Allen and A. Folacci, "The Massless Minimally Coupled Scalar Field in De Sitter Space," Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3771 (1987).

[16] L. H. Ford and L. Parker, "Infrared Divergences in a Class of Robertson-Walker Universes," Phys. Rev. D **16**, 245 (1977).

[17] A. Folacci, "BRST quantization of the massless minimally coupled scalar field in de Sitter space: Zero modes, euclideanization and quantisation," Phys. Rev. D **46**, 2553 (1992) [arXiv:0911.2064 [gr-qc]].

[18] J. Bros, H. Epstein and U. Moschella, "Scalar tachyons in the de Sitter universe," Lett. Math. Phys. **93**, 203 (2010) [arXiv:1003.1396 [hep-th]].

[19] C. P. Burgess, R. Holman, L. Leblond and S. Shandera, "Breakdown of Semiclassical Methods in de Sitter Space," JCAP **1010**, 017 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3551 [hep-th]].

[20] J. Serreau, "Effective potential for quantum scalar fields on a de Sitter geometry," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 191103 (2011) [arXiv:1105.4539 [hep-th]].

[21] D. Boyanovsky, "Condensates and quasiparticles in inflationary cosmology: mass generation and decay widths," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 123525 (2012) [arXiv:1203.3903 [hep-ph]].

[22] A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, "Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the De Sitter background," Phys. Rev. D **50**, 6357 (1994) [astro-ph/9407016].

[23] A. Youssef and D. Kreimer, "Resummation of infrared logarithms in de Sitter space via Dyson-Schwinger equations: the ladder-rainbow approximation," Phys. Rev. D **89**, 124021 (2014) [arXiv:1301.3205 [gr-qc]].

[24] F. Gautier and J. Serreau, "Infrared dynamics in de Sitter space from Schwinger-Dyson equations," Phys. Lett. B **727**, 541 (2013) [arXiv:1305.5705 [hep-th]].

[25] S. Hollands, "Massless interacting quantum fields in deSitter space-time," Annales Henri Poincare **13**, 1039 (2012) [arXiv:1105.1996 [gr-qc]].

[26] A. Katz, "Retarded and Advanced Electromagnetic Fields in Friedmann Universes," J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1694 (1968).

[27] P. C. Peters, "Covariant Electromagnetic potentials and fields in Friedmann universes," J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1216 (1969).

[28] C. Schomblond and P. Spindel, "Propagateurs des champs spinoriels et vectoriels dans l'univers de de Sitter," Bull. Cl. Sci. Acad. Roy. Belg. **LXII**, 124-134 (1976).

[29] N. P. Warner, "Greens Functions On CP^n," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **383**, 207 (1982).

[30] I. T. Drummond and G. M. Shore, "Dimensional Regularisation of Massless Quantum Electrodynamics in Spherical Space-Time. 1.," Annals Phys. **117**, 89 (1979).

[31] B. Allen and T. Jacobson, "Vector Two Point Functions in Maximally Symmetric Spaces," Commun. Math. Phys. **103**, 669 (1986).

[32] A. Youssef, "Infrared behaviour and gauge artefacts in de Sitter space-time: The photon field," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 021101 (2011) [arXiv:1011.3755 [gr-qc]].

[33] M. B. Fröb and A. Higuchi, "Mode-sum construction of the two-point functions for the Stueckelberg vector fields in the Poincare patch of de Sitter space," J. Math. Phys. **55**, 062301 (2014) [arXiv:1305.3421 [gr-qc]].

[34] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, "A Maximally symmetric vector propagator," J. Math. Phys. **48**, 052306 (2007) [gr-qc/0608069].

[35] I. I. Cotaescu, "Polarized vector bosons on the de Sitter expanding universe," Gen. Rel. Grav. **42**, 861 (2010) [arXiv:0901.3207 [gr-qc]].

[36] T. Garidi, J. P. Gazeau, S. Rouhani and M. V. Takook, "'Massless' vector field in de Sitter Universe," J. Math. Phys. **49**, 032501 (2008) [gr-qc/0608004].

[37] S. Behroozi, S. Rouhani, M. V. Takook and M. R. Tanhayi, "Conformally invariant wave equations and massless fields in de Sitter spacetime," Phys. Rev. D **74**, 124014 (2006) [gr-qc/0512105].

[38] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, "Cosmological Event Horizons, Thermodynamics, and

Particle Creation," Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2738 (1977).

[39] E. D'Hoker, D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, "Graviton and gauge boson propagators in AdS(d+1)," Nucl. Phys. B **562**, 330 (1999) [hep-th/9902042].

[40] D. Marolf and I. A. Morrison, "The IR stability of de Sitter: Loop corrections to scalar propagators," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 105032 (2010) [arXiv:1006.0035 [gr-qc]].

[41] D. Marolf and I. A. Morrison, "The IR stability of de Sitter QFT: Physical initial conditions," Gen. Rel. Grav. **43**, 3497 (2011) [arXiv:1104.4343 [gr-qc]].

[42] E. T. Akhmedov, "Lecture notes on interacting quantum fields in de Sitter space," Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, no. 1, 1430001 (2014) [arXiv:1309.2557 [hep-th]].